COGIC

VS.

Westside Ministry

Deposition of:

Willie Green

June 28, 2023

Vol 01



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIRST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. 2021-CA-002561

CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST, INC.,
NORTHWEST FLORIDA JURISDICTION
CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST, INC.,
WESTSIDE CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST,
Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants,

vs.

ELDER KENNETH WATTS, INDIVIDUALLY
AND AS PASTOR AND AS CHAIRMAN OF
THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES, WESTSIDE
COGIC, WESTSIDE MINISTRY, INC.,
WESTSIDE CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST
OF ESCAMBIA COUNTY, WESTSIDE MINISTRY,
INC., COSTON HUFF, AND FRANKIE LEE HUFF,
Defendants/Counter-Plaintiffs.

Volume 1 (Pages 1 - 155)

VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF

WILLIE GREEN

Wednesday, June 28, 2023 9:10 a.m. - 12:17 p.m.

LOCATION:

LEXITAS
220 West Garden Street, Suite 603
Pensacola, Florida 32502

Stenographically Reported By: Dana L. Jeffries, RPR

JOB NO. 317055

	APPEARANCES:	Page 2	1	Page CERTIFIED QUESTIONS
	AFFEARANCES:		1	Deposition of Willie Green
	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS:		2	Volume 1
			3	
	Law Office of Fredrick J. Gant		4 5	****
	322 W Cervantes Street)	Page 7 Line 6
	Pensacola, FL 32501-3030 850-433-3230		6	Page 28 Line 5
	BY: FREDERICK J. GANT, ESQUIRE			Page 28 Line 20
	fjgant@yahoo.com		7	Page 32 Line 16
	-		8	Page 33 Line 22 Page 34 Line 9
	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS:		"	Page 34 Line 9
			9	Page 34 Line 20
	Trinidad Law Group, PLLC			Page 34 Line 25
	7 North Coyle Street Pensacola, FL 32502		10	Page 35 Line 13
	850-433-8529		11	Page 37 Line 2 Page 102 Line 14
	BY: CHRISTOPHER R. JOHNSON, ESQUIRE			Page 105 Line 12
	crjohnsonlaw@yahoo.com		12	Page 137 Line 17
				Page 149 Line 3
			13	****
	ALSO PRESENT:		14 15	****
	Felicia Watts-Kidd, Board Member Mr. And Mrs. Kenneth Watts		10	Christopher Johnson Statement Regarding Certific
	Richard McNulty, Videographer		16	Questions
			17	Page 44 Line 16
			18 19	****
			20	
			21	\wedge 0. \circ
				Lora Sufficion
			22	Dana L. Jeffeies, RPR
			23	Registered Professional Reporter
			24	
			25	
_				
	INDEX OF WITNESS	Page 3	1	Pag (The following deposition began in Volume 1 at 9:10
			_	(Inc lottowing deposition began in volume I de 3.10
		PAGE	2	5 m l
	Volume 1	PAGE	2	a.m.)
	WILLIE GREEN		2 3	
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions	4		
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson	4 6	3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the $$
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions	4	3 4 5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath	4 6 152	3 4 5 6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests:
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter	4 6 152 153	3 4 5 6 7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter	4 6 152 153 154	3 4 5 6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests:
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet	4 6 152 153 154	3 4 5 6 7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS	4 6 152 153 154	3 4 5 6 7 8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023.
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFFS'	4 6 152 153 154	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023. The videographer is Richard McNulty and the street of the street o
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS	4 6 152 153 154	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023. The videographer is Richard McNulty and the court reporter is Dana Jeffries, both in
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFFS'	4 6 152 153 154	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023. The videographer is Richard McNulty and the court reporter is Dana Jeffries, both in association with Lexitas.
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION None	4 6 152 153 154	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023. The videographer is Richard McNulty and the court reporter is Dana Jeffries, both in
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION None DEFENDANTS'	4 6 152 153 154	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023. The videographer is Richard McNulty and the court reporter is Dana Jeffries, both in association with Lexitas.
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION None	4 6 152 153 154	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023. The videographer is Richard McNulty and to court reporter is Dana Jeffries, both in association with Lexitas. Will counsel please announce their appearances for the record.
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION None DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION	4 6 152 153 154	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023. The videographer is Richard McNulty and to court reporter is Dana Jeffries, both in association with Lexitas. Will counsel please announce their appearances for the record. MR. GANT: Fred Gant for COGIC and which will record the second of the
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION None DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION A Notice of Filing of COGIC General	4 6 152 153 154	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023. The videographer is Richard McNulty and to court reporter is Dana Jeffries, both in association with Lexitas. Will counsel please announce their appearances for the record. MR. GANT: Fred Gant for COGIC and whi is Church of God in Christ, and Westside Church
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION None DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION	4 6 152 153 154	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023. The videographer is Richard McNulty and court reporter is Dana Jeffries, both in association with Lexitas. Will counsel please announce their appearances for the record. MR. GANT: Fred Gant for COGIC and who
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION None DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION A Notice of Filing of COGIC General Assembly Minutes April 5-6, 2022	4 6 152 153 154 155	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023. The videographer is Richard McNulty and to court reporter is Dana Jeffries, both in association with Lexitas. Will counsel please announce their appearances for the record. MR. GANT: Fred Gant for COGIC and whi is Church of God in Christ, and Westside Church of God in Christ.
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION None DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION A Notice of Filing of COGIC General Assembly Minutes April 5-6, 2022 As Approved on November 2022	4 6 152 153 154 155	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023. The videographer is Richard McNulty and to court reporter is Dana Jeffries, both in association with Lexitas. Will counsel please announce their appearances for the record. MR. GANT: Fred Gant for COGIC and whis Church of God in Christ, and Westside Church of God in Christ.
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION None DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION A Notice of Filing of COGIC General Assembly Minutes April 5-6, 2022 As Approved on November 2022 B Joint Order of the Presiding Bishop &	4 6 152 153 154 155	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023. The videographer is Richard McNulty and court reporter is Dana Jeffries, both in association with Lexitas. Will counsel please announce their appearances for the record. MR. GANT: Fred Gant for COGIC and whis Church of God in Christ, and Westside Church of God in Christ. MR. JOHNSON: Christopher Johnson for all of the named defendants.
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION None DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION A Notice of Filing of COGIC General Assembly Minutes April 5-6, 2022 As Approved on November 2022 B Joint Order of the Presiding Bishop &	4 6 152 153 154 155	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023. The videographer is Richard McNulty and court reporter is Dana Jeffries, both in association with Lexitas. Will counsel please announce their appearances for the record. MR. GANT: Fred Gant for COGIC and whis Church of God in Christ, and Westside Church of God in Christ. MR. JOHNSON: Christopher Johnson for all of the named defendants. THE STENOGRAPHER: Raise your right hand
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION None DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION A Notice of Filing of COGIC General Assembly Minutes April 5-6, 2022 As Approved on November 2022 B Joint Order of the Presiding Bishop &	4 6 152 153 154 155	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023. The videographer is Richard McNulty and to court reporter is Dana Jeffries, both in association with Lexitas. Will counsel please announce their appearances for the record. MR. GANT: Fred Gant for COGIC and whis Church of God in Christ, and Westside Church of God in Christ. MR. JOHNSON: Christopher Johnson for all of the named defendants. THE STENOGRAPHER: Raise your right hand and I'll swear you in, please.
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION None DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION A Notice of Filing of COGIC General Assembly Minutes April 5-6, 2022 As Approved on November 2022 B Joint Order of the Presiding Bishop &	4 6 152 153 154 155	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Westsi Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023. The videographer is Richard McNulty and to court reporter is Dana Jeffries, both in association with Lexitas. Will counsel please announce their appearances for the record. MR. GANT: Fred Gant for COGIC and whi is Church of God in Christ, and Westside Church of God in Christ. MR. JOHNSON: Christopher Johnson for all of the named defendants. THE STENOGRAPHER: Raise your right hand
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION None DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION A Notice of Filing of COGIC General Assembly Minutes April 5-6, 2022 As Approved on November 2022 B Joint Order of the Presiding Bishop &	4 6 152 153 154 155	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023. The videographer is Richard McNulty and to court reporter is Dana Jeffries, both in association with Lexitas. Will counsel please announce their appearances for the record. MR. GANT: Fred Gant for COGIC and whi is Church of God in Christ, and Westside Church of God in Christ. MR. JOHNSON: Christopher Johnson for all of the named defendants. THE STENOGRAPHER: Raise your right hand and I'll swear you in, please.
	WILLIE GREEN Certified Questions Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson Certificate of Oath Certificate of Reporter Read and Sign Letter Errata Sheet INDEX OF EXHIBITS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION None DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION A Notice of Filing of COGIC General Assembly Minutes April 5-6, 2022 As Approved on November 2022 B Joint Order of the Presiding Bishop &	4 6 152 153 154 155	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:10, and are now on the record. This is the video-recorded deposition of Willie Green in the matter of Church of God in Christ versus Wests: Ministry. The deposition is being held at Lexitas, 220 West Garden Street, Suite 603, in Pensacola, Florida, on June 28, 2023. The videographer is Richard McNulty and the court reporter is Dana Jeffries, both in association with Lexitas. Will counsel please announce their appearances for the record. MR. GANT: Fred Gant for COGIC and which is Church of God in Christ, and Westside Church of God in Christ. MR. JOHNSON: Christopher Johnson for all of the named defendants. THE STENOGRAPHER: Raise your right hand and I'll swear you in, please. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you

	Page 6		Page 8
1	Thereupon,	1	witness and to expose other's personal matters
2	WILLIE C. GREEN	2	that are totally irrelevant to the case at hand.
3	having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined	3	And Mr. Johnson's proclivity to put things
4	and testified as follows:	4	on social media for purposes related to justice,
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION	5	we refuse to answer that question for those
6	BY MR. JOHNSON:	6	reasons.
7	Q All right. Bishop, can you just please	7	MR. JOHNSON: Are you you're instructing
8	state your full name for the record?	8	your client to refuse to answer the question?
9	A My name is Willie C. Green. My title is	9	MR. GANT: You want to put public
10	bishop.	10	information on social media. So irrelevant to
11	Q Okay. And where do you currently reside?	11	this proceedings. Your reason, your causation
12	A I live at 296 Bradwell Road, Quincy,	12	for such a matter, it's to harass the witness and
13	Florida.	13	give him a hard time.
14	Q Okay. Do you have any other residences	14	And for that reason, yes, don't answer the
15	currently?	15	question.
16	A What do you mean do I have any other	16	MR. JOHNSON: Okay. I'll certify the
17	residences? Do I have any other places where I have	17	objection.
18	homes or apartments?	18	I'll briefly respond by stating
19	Q Any other places you live, yes, sir.	19	unequivocally that I, nor anybody at my direction
20	A Yes, I do have.	20	or even suggestion, has ever posted anything on
21	Q Okay. Where where at?	21	social media in this case or any other case, or
22	A I have a house here in Pensacola.	22	even in my private life. I do not adhere to any
23	Q Okay.	23	social media.
24	A 7771 Breeze Wood Circle. I have another	24	I have a Facebook page in the name of my
25	house in Quincy, 200 Gray Street. So those are two	25	law firm that I haven't looked at in ages. Don't
	Page 7		Page 0
1 1			
1	places where I have residence additional	1	Page 9 even know what's on it. And that's the only
2	places where I have residence additional residences.	1 2	
	-	_	even know what's on it. And that's the only
2	residences.	2	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of.
2 3	residences. Q No others?	2 3	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as
2 3 4	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other	2 3 4	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused
2 3 4 5	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own.	2 3 4 5	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the
2 3 4 5 6	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do	2 3 4 5	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted
2 3 4 5 6 7	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do you own properties?	2 3 4 5 6 7	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted anything nor have I suggested, let alone asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do you own properties? A Not relevant, in my opinion. I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted anything nor have I suggested, let alone asked anybody, to post anything on social media.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do you own properties? A Not relevant, in my opinion. I just Q I understand, but I'm asking you to provide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted anything nor have I suggested, let alone asked anybody, to post anything on social media. The questions that I'm asking right now are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do you own properties? A Not relevant, in my opinion. I just Q I understand, but I'm asking you to provide this background information for me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted anything nor have I suggested, let alone asked anybody, to post anything on social media. The questions that I'm asking right now are background questions that I believe I am entitled
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do you own properties? A Not relevant, in my opinion. I just Q I understand, but I'm asking you to provide this background information for me. MR. GANT: I object to the question. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted anything nor have I suggested, let alone asked anybody, to post anything on social media. The questions that I'm asking right now are background questions that I believe I am entitled to know for no for other reasons, but for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do you own properties? A Not relevant, in my opinion. I just Q I understand, but I'm asking you to provide this background information for me. MR. GANT: I object to the question. It's only given to be intrusive into the witness's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted anything nor have I suggested, let alone asked anybody, to post anything on social media. The questions that I'm asking right now are background questions that I believe I am entitled to know for no for other reasons, but for the reason that this is a case in which both parties
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do you own properties? A Not relevant, in my opinion. I just Q I understand, but I'm asking you to provide this background information for me. MR. GANT: I object to the question. It's only given to be intrusive into the witness's personal matters. They're intrusive into his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted anything nor have I suggested, let alone asked anybody, to post anything on social media. The questions that I'm asking right now are background questions that I believe I am entitled to know for no for other reasons, but for the reason that this is a case in which both parties have asked for a jury trial. When any party is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do you own properties? A Not relevant, in my opinion. I just Q I understand, but I'm asking you to provide this background information for me. MR. GANT: I object to the question. It's only given to be intrusive into the witness's personal matters. They're intrusive into his personal matters, not relevant at all to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted anything nor have I suggested, let alone asked anybody, to post anything on social media. The questions that I'm asking right now are background questions that I believe I am entitled to know for no for other reasons, but for the reason that this is a case in which both parties have asked for a jury trial. When any party is asking for a jury trial, it is certainly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do you own properties? A Not relevant, in my opinion. I just Q I understand, but I'm asking you to provide this background information for me. MR. GANT: I object to the question. It's only given to be intrusive into the witness's personal matters. They're intrusive into his personal matters, not relevant at all to the issues at hand. I object. It's being used to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted anything nor have I suggested, let alone asked anybody, to post anything on social media. The questions that I'm asking right now are background questions that I believe I am entitled to know for no for other reasons, but for the reason that this is a case in which both parties have asked for a jury trial. When any party is asking for a jury trial, it is certainly anticipated that we'll be picking a jury. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do you own properties? A Not relevant, in my opinion. I just Q I understand, but I'm asking you to provide this background information for me. MR. GANT: I object to the question. It's only given to be intrusive into the witness's personal matters. They're intrusive into his personal matters, not relevant at all to the issues at hand. I object. It's being used to harass the witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted anything nor have I suggested, let alone asked anybody, to post anything on social media. The questions that I'm asking right now are background questions that I believe I am entitled to know for no for other reasons, but for the reason that this is a case in which both parties have asked for a jury trial. When any party is asking for a jury trial, it is certainly anticipated that we'll be picking a jury. And when attorneys pick a jury, we have an absolute
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do you own properties? A Not relevant, in my opinion. I just Q I understand, but I'm asking you to provide this background information for me. MR. GANT: I object to the question. It's only given to be intrusive into the witness's personal matters. They're intrusive into his personal matters, not relevant at all to the issues at hand. I object. It's being used to harass the witness. Additionally, this deposition is recorded,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted anything nor have I suggested, let alone asked anybody, to post anything on social media. The questions that I'm asking right now are background questions that I believe I am entitled to know for no for other reasons, but for the reason that this is a case in which both parties have asked for a jury trial. When any party is asking for a jury trial, it is certainly anticipated that we'll be picking a jury. And when attorneys pick a jury, we have an absolute right to know whether or not there could be any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do you own properties? A Not relevant, in my opinion. I just Q I understand, but I'm asking you to provide this background information for me. MR. GANT: I object to the question. It's only given to be intrusive into the witness's personal matters. They're intrusive into his personal matters, not relevant at all to the issues at hand. I object. It's being used to harass the witness. Additionally, this deposition is recorded, and the nature of the opposing counsel,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted anything nor have I suggested, let alone asked anybody, to post anything on social media. The questions that I'm asking right now are background questions that I believe I am entitled to know for no for other reasons, but for the reason that this is a case in which both parties have asked for a jury trial. When any party is asking for a jury trial, it is certainly anticipated that we'll be picking a jury. And when attorneys pick a jury, we have an absolute right to know whether or not there could be any possible affiliation or familiarity with any of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do you own properties? A Not relevant, in my opinion. I just Q I understand, but I'm asking you to provide this background information for me. MR. GANT: I object to the question. It's only given to be intrusive into the witness's personal matters. They're intrusive into his personal matters, not relevant at all to the issues at hand. I object. It's being used to harass the witness. Additionally, this deposition is recorded, and the nature of the opposing counsel, Mr. Johnson, in the past is to put evidence into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted anything nor have I suggested, let alone asked anybody, to post anything on social media. The questions that I'm asking right now are background questions that I believe I am entitled to know for no for other reasons, but for the reason that this is a case in which both parties have asked for a jury trial. When any party is asking for a jury trial, it is certainly anticipated that we'll be picking a jury. And when attorneys pick a jury, we have an absolute right to know whether or not there could be any possible affiliation or familiarity with any of the parties in the case. And simple background
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do you own properties? A Not relevant, in my opinion. I just Q I understand, but I'm asking you to provide this background information for me. MR. GANT: I object to the question. It's only given to be intrusive into the witness's personal matters. They're intrusive into his personal matters, not relevant at all to the issues at hand. I object. It's being used to harass the witness. Additionally, this deposition is recorded, and the nature of the opposing counsel, Mr. Johnson, in the past is to put evidence into social media, to reveal my client's properties on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted anything nor have I suggested, let alone asked anybody, to post anything on social media. The questions that I'm asking right now are background questions that I believe I am entitled to know for no for other reasons, but for the reason that this is a case in which both parties have asked for a jury trial. When any party is asking for a jury trial, it is certainly anticipated that we'll be picking a jury. And when attorneys pick a jury, we have an absolute right to know whether or not there could be any possible affiliation or familiarity with any of the parties in the case. And simple background information regarding properties that people may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do you own properties? A Not relevant, in my opinion. I just Q I understand, but I'm asking you to provide this background information for me. MR. GANT: I object to the question. It's only given to be intrusive into the witness's personal matters. They're intrusive into his personal matters, not relevant at all to the issues at hand. I object. It's being used to harass the witness. Additionally, this deposition is recorded, and the nature of the opposing counsel, Mr. Johnson, in the past is to put evidence into social media, to reveal my client's properties on social media, which Mr. Johnson intends to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted anything nor have I suggested, let alone asked anybody, to post anything on social media. The questions that I'm asking right now are background questions that I believe I am entitled to know for no for other reasons, but for the reason that this is a case in which both parties have asked for a jury trial. When any party is asking for a jury trial, it is certainly anticipated that we'll be picking a jury. And when attorneys pick a jury, we have an absolute right to know whether or not there could be any possible affiliation or familiarity with any of the parties in the case. And simple background information regarding properties that people may own, seems to me to fall into that category,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do you own properties? A Not relevant, in my opinion. I just Q I understand, but I'm asking you to provide this background information for me. MR. GANT: I object to the question. It's only given to be intrusive into the witness's personal matters. They're intrusive into his personal matters, not relevant at all to the issues at hand. I object. It's being used to harass the witness. Additionally, this deposition is recorded, and the nature of the opposing counsel, Mr. Johnson, in the past is to put evidence into social media, to reveal my client's properties on social media, which Mr. Johnson intends to do with this deposition. It's totally out of hand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted anything nor have I suggested, let alone asked anybody, to post anything on social media. The questions that I'm asking right now are background questions that I believe I am entitled to know for no for other reasons, but for the reason that this is a case in which both parties have asked for a jury trial. When any party is asking for a jury trial, it is certainly anticipated that we'll be picking a jury. And when attorneys pick a jury, we have an absolute right to know whether or not there could be any possible affiliation or familiarity with any of the parties in the case. And simple background information regarding properties that people may own, seems to me to fall into that category, particularly if he owns any properties in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	residences. Q No others? A No other residences. There are other properties that I own. Q All right. Tell me about those. Where do you own properties? A Not relevant, in my opinion. I just Q I understand, but I'm asking you to provide this background information for me. MR. GANT: I object to the question. It's only given to be intrusive into the witness's personal matters. They're intrusive into his personal matters, not relevant at all to the issues at hand. I object. It's being used to harass the witness. Additionally, this deposition is recorded, and the nature of the opposing counsel, Mr. Johnson, in the past is to put evidence into social media, to reveal my client's properties on social media, which Mr. Johnson intends to do with this deposition. It's totally out of hand with the rules of our court system.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	even know what's on it. And that's the only social media I'm aware of. And I state all of that for the record, as an officer of the Court. I'm continually accused of this. So, once again, as an officer of the Court, I will state that I have never posted anything nor have I suggested, let alone asked anybody, to post anything on social media. The questions that I'm asking right now are background questions that I believe I am entitled to know for no for other reasons, but for the reason that this is a case in which both parties have asked for a jury trial. When any party is asking for a jury trial, it is certainly anticipated that we'll be picking a jury. And when attorneys pick a jury, we have an absolute right to know whether or not there could be any possible affiliation or familiarity with any of the parties in the case. And simple background information regarding properties that people may own, seems to me to fall into that category, particularly if he owns any properties in Escambia County, Florida, where the jury will be

	Page 10	1	Page 12
	although I am certifying the question, and we'll	1	unethical or immoral behavior, and it's just
2	deal with it in the court, I will rephrase the	2	gotten to the point where, yeah, it is personal,
3	question right now to ask if he owns any	3	and it shouldn't be. I mean, I'm not okay.
4	properties in Escambia County, Florida.	4	That's enough.
5	MR. GANT: It's asked and answered. He	5	MR. GANT: If it's designed to harass my
6	already told you	6	client, and to harass my client's COGIC, and to
7	MR. JOHNSON: I didn't ask that question.	7	put them in a bad light, doing it on purpose and
8	MR. GANT: He's already told you	8	intentionally, then I think that's improper.
9	MR. JOHNSON: Okay.	9	MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
10	MR. GANT: properties in Escambia	10	MR. GANT: Is it a bar complaint status? I
11	County.	11	don't I'm not sure. But you are doing that.
12	MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Gant, is the entire	12	You have done that more than once.
13	deposition going to go this way?	13	MR. JOHNSON: Okay. I'm going to ask
14	MR. GANT: If you pose questions designed	14	again, and if you're going to if you're going
15	to harass my client, to discern information	15	to instruct him not to answer, I would request
16	that's totally irrelevant for whatever the	16	that you do so clearly.
17	purpose is. As to your social media comment, not	17	BY MR. JOHNSON:
18	having any, we know that you have a guy named	18	Q Okay. Bishop, do you own any properties in
19	Moses Tyson communicate Moses	19	Escambia County, Florida, other than the home you've
20	THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm having a hard time.	20	referenced earlier?
21	MR. GANT: I'm sorry.	21	A Asked and answered.
22	THE STENOGRAPHER: Can you slow down,	22	Q Well, no, it wasn't answered. Respectfully
23	please?	23	you told me you owned a home in Escambia County,
25	MR. GANT: A guy named Moses Tyson communicate quite often. Moses Tyson, someone	25	Florida. For example, I own a home in Escambia County, Florida. But I also happen to own other
	2,		11
	5 44		D 12
1	Page 11 you know very well, posts things on social media	1	Page 13 properties in Escambia County, Florida. So it's
2	that you provide to him. Okay? So we know that	2	perfectly conceivable that one may own a home in
3	as a matter of fact. So if you're going to deny	3	Escambia County, Florida, as well as other properties
4	that, then of course, you can do that now,	4	in Escambia County, Florida.
5	because as an officer of the Court, deny that you	5	So I'll ask again. Other than the home
6	provide things to Moses Tyson.	6	that you've referenced earlier, do you own any
7	MR. JOHNSON: I deny that, yes.	7	properties in Escambia County, Florida?
8	MR. GANT: Okay.	8	A As you've been talking, it came back to my
9	MR. JOHNSON: And I invite you to I	9	remembrance here that I do I recently purchased
10	invite both of you to notify the Bar Association	10	another piece of property here in and it is in
11	and go down this road. I invite you to do that.	11	Escambia County because it's in Century, Florida. But
12	And so we can just move on with the case. I	12	if you ask me for the address, I can't give you the
13	invite you to. If you have any evidence	13	exact address.
14	whatsoever that I'm providing I don't know,	14	Q Okay. No problem.
15	documents to Moses Tyson, please tell me because	15	A But other than that, I own no other
16	I'm not aware I've ever done anything remotely	16	property in Escambia County, Florida.
17	like that.	17	Q Now, where were you born?
18	MR. GANT: I didn't mention the Bar,	18	A I was born in the same town I live in, I've
19	Mr. Johnson. I said you have provided things to	19	worked in, I retired in, the little town of Quincy,
20	Moses Tyson to get to social media. I didn't	20	Florida.
21	mention I didn't mention the Bar.	21	Q You went to high school there?
22	MR. JOHNSON: Well, you	22	A Graduated at the top of my class James A.
23		23	Shanks High School.
I	MR. GANT: That was your that was	23	Shanks high school.
24	MR. GANT: That was your that was your	24	Q What year did you graduate?
24 25			

1 Okay. What did you do after high school? Q 2 Α Went to college. 3 Q Where did you go to college? 4 Α University of South Florida, Florida State 5 University. 6 0 Did you graduate from University of South 7 Florida with a degree? 8 I did. Α 9 Q What year and what was the degree? 10 Irrelevant, but I don't mind telling you. 11 And if you want to spend all day on this, we can. 12 Graduated from the University of South Florida 1976 13 with a bachelor's degree. Graduated from Florida 14 State University with a master's in ed leadership, I'm 15 not sure of the year, maybe 1999. I also have a 16 doctorate in theology from -- oh, I can't even think 17 of the seminary right now. But those are the degrees 18 I have. I've attended Florida State University law 19 school, as well as Abraham Lincoln University law 20 school. 21 Okay. Back to South Florida, when you got 22 your bachelor's degree, what was the discipline? 23 Education, English education. 24 0 Okay. All right. The law schools that you 25 just mentioned attending, did you obtain a degree from Page 15 1 either one of those? 2 Α I have not. 3 Okay. All right. And I know you just said 4 you can't remember, but if the name of the seminary 5 that you went to, if you recall that during the course 6 of today's deposition, can you agree to let me know? 7 I'm not going to spend any time trying to 8 recall it. I don't remember the name of the seminary 9 at this point. I'm sure if -- if it comes to me, I'll 10 do it. 11 Q Okay. 12 I didn't pull down all of my degrees in 13 anticipation that I would have to respond to that 14 today. 15 Upon graduation from seminary, were you 0 16 ordained as a minister? 17 Α I was ordained as a minister before I 18 graduated from seminary. 19 Tell me a little bit about that. Not being 20 a member of any particular denomination, I am under 21 the impression that ordination may be different from 22 denomination to denomination, or what have you. So 23 tell me about which denomination or church you were 24 ordained in and what that process is. 25 I was licensed, ordained and consecrated in

Page 16
the Church of God in Christ. I was licensed at about
17 or eight -- in fact, I was licensed and -- I can
tell you exactly when I received my license in the
Church of God in Christ. It was in April or May of
1972. I remember that because I graduated from high
school in May of 1972, and I received a license as a
licensed minister in the Church of God in Christ
before I graduated from high school in 1972.

So I was licensed by the Church of God in Christ in 1972. I was ordained by the Church of God in Christ and received, and still have my certificate of ordination from the Church of God in Christ, in 1976, I believe.

Q What is the difference between being licensed by Church of God in Christ and being ordained by Church of God in Christ?

A Being a licensed minister in the Church of God in Christ certifies that you have been recommended by your local pastor as having met all of the requirements that are required in the constitution of the Church of God in Christ that a minister must have. The knowledge, the competency of understanding the basic rules and regulations of the Church of God in Christ, that your life meets the requirements in terms of integrity and virtue, that you have passed,

Page 17

ge 15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

according to the pastor who recommends you, a
screening in terms of your ability -- criminally and
other things, whether or not you've had anything
criminally in your past.

So it comes from the -- it starts with the

So it comes from the -- it starts with the pastor of the local church who recommends you to become a licensed minister, after you've gone through the basic teaching and training required by a church. And then he certifies -- when you are recommended for ordination, the pastor certifies to the bishop that you've met those qualifications. And you are, in turn, evaluated by an ordination committee, again, to make sure that you have the basic understanding and that you can represent the Church of God in Christ as an ordained elder, qualified to carry on the ordinances and perform weddings and funerals and other functions that only an ordained elder can perform in our church.

Q Understood.

A Again, I see these questions, of course, as irrelevant. And if you want to take the time for me to answer these kind of questions, that's fine, but I'd much rather be answering questions about the subject matter of this complaint.

Q How old were you when you got licensed?

Page 18 Page 20 1 I told you that, but you weren't listening. 1 administrator. 2 2 I was 18. Q And whom have you worked for in those 3 3 Q capacities? Okay. That's quite an accomplishment that you're -- was that unusual for an 18-year-old to get 4 4 Α As all of my educational career, I've 5 licensed at that point in COGIC? 5 worked for the Gadsden County School Board, except for 6 6 It would be unusual, but it's not strange a brief time when I worked with Tallahassee Community in the sense that you may be thinking that it's 7 7 College. 8 strange. You've got to go through a course of study. 8 What years did you work for the Gadsden 9 9 You've got to be well trained by the person who is County School Board? your pastor. And you've got to be able to follow 10 1976 until probably 2007 or '8. I can't be 10 11 through on the course of study that's provided. 11 very clear on the date that I actually ended my 12 Q 12 services with the Gadsden County School Board. Okay. 13 13 Okay. During that period of time, what was Α There are specific things that you have to 14 your position with them? 14 show that you have knowledge of. 15 0 Okay. 15 Α You weren't listening again. Teacher, 16 Α I was an excellent student in school in 16 coach, principal, administrator. 17 academic and intellectual world, and I gave my life to 17 Okay. What school were you a teacher at? 18 Christ, , totally committed myself to him. And I've 18 I can't remember them all, and I'm not 19 been that way all of my life, regardless of what you 19 going to try to waste time, because I sure did a -or anybody else think about me. I am dedicated to the 20 quite a few of those schools during that 31- or 20 21 principles of the Bible, first of all, and to what the '2-year career. 21 22 Bible represents. And so I gave myself to studying 22 Q What school were you a principal of? Principal of Gadsden -- Gadsden Academy, I 23 23 those things. 24 Have you been with -- affiliated with 24 believe. Don't -- don't hold me to that exact name, COGIC, for lack of a better word, using the word 25 but I believe it was Gadsden Academy, Carter-Parramore Page 19 Page 21 1 affiliated, I quess, throughout your entire career? 1 Academy, and Chattahoochee High School. Those are the 2 2 What do you mean, career? Are you talking schools that I remember serving as principal. 3 3 about in terms of as a minister? Q Okay. What years were you the principal at 4 4 the Gadsden Academy? Q 5 5 That would have been 2007 or '8. I'm not Α My entire ministerial career has been spent 6 with the Church of God in Christ. 6 sure of the date, again. I see all of this as being 7 Have you been employed in any other 7 irrelevant, but I did work in -- in fact, that was the 8 capacity other than as a minister with the Church of 8 last school that I worked at, Gadsden Academy. 9 God in Christ? 9 What years were you the principal at the --10 I've never been employed by the Church of 10 did you -- I think you used the word Parramore 11 God in Christ. I consider my service as an avocation. 11 Academy? I serve -- I'm not a salaried employee of the church. 12 12 Α Carter-Parramore Academy. 13 I'm not a salaried minister of the church. I have 13 0 Uh-huh. I can't remember the exact years, but it 14 always given my time freely in exchange for donations 14 15 and contributions and love offerings that the church 15 would have been somewhere between 2005 to 2006 or '7, I served there, first of all, as assistant principal. 16 has given me over the years. But I've never been 16 17 employed by the Church of God in Christ, as I 17 0 And I think the last one you mentioned was 18 understand the use of the word "employed." I've been 18 Chattahoochee High School? 19 a member and a servant of the Church of God in Christ, 19 Chattahoochee High School. That was 20 and will continue to be such until I'm either removed 20 actually a combination school. That would have been 21 or die. 21 around 2003 or '4, somewhere in that category. 22 22 All right. And I think you testified Q Have you ever been employed in any capacity 23 23 earlier that you stopped working for the Gadsden by an employer? 24 Α I've spent my secular career as an 24 school board around 2007 or 2008; is that correct? 25 25 That is correct. educator, classroom teacher, coach, principal,

24

25

have been through something similar to this before.

Have you given deposition testimony before?

Page 24 1 And what were the circumstances under which 1 Α I have. 2 you left employment with the Gadsden school board? 2 Okay. As you may recall, then, this is an 3 3 I retired. opportunity for counsel in the case to essentially ask you questions and find out things that we don't know, 4 Q Since '07 and '08, have you had any other 4 5 employers? 5 find out things that we just need to confirm, that we 6 6 Α No other employers. None. feel are relevant to the case or could lead to 7 7 0 Throughout your adult life, then, have you something that's relevant in the case. 8 had any other employers that we haven't spoken about 8 I realize that you don't believe me, but 9 9 today? I'm not going to ask you any questions today that --10 where the intention is to embarrass or harass you. 10 I'm sure I have. I started working at the 11 age of six years old. I worked until I graduated from 11 And I'm undoubtedly going to ask you some questions 12 high school. I came up working on a tobacco farm. 12 today that you may not understand. It's not my --13 I've done any number -- I did any number of jobs as a 13 it's not my intention or my wish that you answer a 14 college student, as an adult, from concrete 14 question you don't understand. 15 construction to roofing repair, to working as a city 15 If I ask you a question, and you don't 16 16 employee for the City of Tampa. If you push me about understand the question -- which doesn't mean you 17 dates and times -- but those were jobs I held as a 17 don't understand why I'm asking, it's more you just 18 student. 18 don't know how to answer because you don't understand 19 the question -- I want you to advise me that, so I Let me rephrase my question again, because 19 20 it's not my intention to ask you to remember all of 20 have an opportunity to rephrase my question in perhaps 21 21 those. What I meant to ask was post education, when another way. Can you agree to do that? 22 you were no longer a student, have you had any other 22 Α I understand that one of your primary 23 23 employment other than what you've already told me? purposes is to play this for social media, put it out 24 Α No. None that I can recall. 24 there on somebody's jive show and give it to Moses 25 0 Got you. Okay. Have you had any other 25 Tyson, Jr. And I understand that, from my Page 23 Page 25 1 education other than what we've already talked about? 1 perspective, a lot of what you want to do is try to 2 None. No formal education that I can 2 embarrass me or try to embarrass the Church of God in 3 3 recall. Christ in some way or another. If your intent is to 4 Q Got it. Something I should have done 4 get evidence or information relative to the case, I'm before we started is --5 5 going to be glad to answer all of your questions. I'm not going to answer any question from you that $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ 6 Α Slidell Baptist -- Slidell Baptist Seminary 6 7 was the name of the school that I received my 7 believe is designed to embarrass me. And I'm going to doctorate from. I didn't waste any time on it, but it 8 8 ask the attorney to object and I'm not going to answer 9 9 suddenly popped back in my head. any of those questions. You and your clients have 10 I'm not going to make any assumptions, so I 10 spent a lot of time trying to denigrate me and 11 understand that I'm likely going to ask a lot of 11 embarrass me on social media. You put out a lot of 12 questions today that you think are obvious or 12 stuff. 13 apparent. All I can represent to you is it's a 13 I listened as you talked claiming that you 14 mistake, in my opinion, for any lawyer to make any 14 didn't have a social media page and you didn't have --15 assumptions that I know something. 15 didn't know who -- you didn't send stuff out there, 16 So my question is, Slidell Baptist 16 but I think when the time comes, we'll be able to 17 Seminary, is that in Slidell, Louisiana? 17 demonstrate that you and your clients have done just 18 Α 18 that in reference to trying to have this case heard in 19 Q Did you attend any other seminaries? 19 social media. I have not responded to any of it and I 20 20 will not respond to any of it because the courtroom is 21 Okay. And I should have given you a little 21 the proper place to decide the matters that we're 22 bit of preliminary instruction, and I forgot. I 22 talking about. 23 23 apologize for that. What -- and I realize you may So if you try to embarrass me, I'm not

24

25

going to respond, and I'll ask the attorney to object,

and we'll allow the judge to respond to the objection.

Page 26 Page 28 1 But I will not be embarrassed. Okay. All right. But do you understand 1 2 2 I'm going to ask again, if I ask you a you're sworn in to tell the truth today, just as if 3 3 question and you don't understand the question, will we're in a courtroom? 4 you agree to advise me of that, so I have an 4 Asked and answered. 5 opportunity to rephrase the question in the hope that 5 Well, it wasn't asked -- it wasn't 6 6 it's better phrased for your understanding, before you answered. Sir, you can say that and your lawyer can 7 7 say that all you want, but the record is what the 8 I've already answered your question. 8 record is. It's a yes or no question. Do you 9 9 Q Respectfully, you did not answer my understand that you've been sworn in to tell the truth question. My question is -- well, let me ask it this 10 just as if we were in a courtroom? 10 11 way. If I ask you a question and you provide an 11 Unlike you and your clients, if you ask me 12 12 answer without asking me to rephrase the question, can a question, I'm going to tell you the truth. 13 we assume for the record that you understood the 13 So are you refusing to answer that Q 14 question and answered accordingly? 14 question? 15 You can. If I answer your question, you 15 Α I've already answered it. 16 can assume that I understood your question. 16 Okav. 17 Okay. Do you understand that at any time 17 MR. JOHNSON: Certify that question as 18 during this proceeding, you're welcome to ask us to 18 well. take a break? Whether you need to use the restroom, BY MR. JOHNSON: 19 19 20 20 get something to drink, talk to your attorney, it's All right. While you were employed with 21 21 all perfectly fine. And you just need to let us know. the Gadsden County School Board, were you ever 22 I'm good. I'm waiting on you. 22 disciplined in any way? 23 23 But do you understand that as we're here Irrelevant, but I don't mind answering --24 today, you can take a break anytime you need to? 24 MR. GANT: I object to that question. I'm good. 25 Α Irrelevant, I object to it. That question --Page 27 Page 29 1 All right. If I ask you a question and you 1 what is the basis of the question? Our complaint 2 2 don't know the answer -- and that is likely to happen. is based on the tenements, the rules, against the 3 3 It happens in every deposition, that I'm aware of, bishop of Church of God in Christ. The rules 4 where a witness won't know the answer, you just don't 4 and -- the rules and bylaws. It's a 5 5 know. It's perfectly okay to tell me that, that you black-and-white issue as to what the rules are. 6 just don't know. Is -- do you understand that? 6 There's a record of what was done by your 7 I understand that, and I know there will be 7 client -- discipline in his past employment has 8 some questions that you'll ask that I don't know the no relevance at all as to issues in this case. 9 9 answer to. Committed a crime? Maybe so. If he gets 10 Q And I --10 convicted of a crime -- convicted of a crime? 11 And I'll --11 Sure. Credibility? Sure. Completely sure. 12 12 -- just want you to know, that's perfectly Discipline, talked about, bad-mouthed? No, no, 13 13 no, no. It's designed to harass my client, put fine. 14 14 Α I'll tell you. it on social media, as suggested earlier, and 15 Okay. All right. And, again, I know you 15 we're not going there, Mr. Johnson. We're not 16 don't want to answer this, but if I don't under -- if going to do it. Certify it to the judge. It's 16 17 you don't understand a question, I'm requesting that 17 fine with me. 18 you let me know so I can rephrase. 18 MR. JOHNSON: Are you instructing him not 19 Finally, do you understand that you've been 19 to answer? 20 sworn in to tell the truth, you know, through an oath, 20 MR. GANT: Yes, I am. 21 just like we were in a courtroom? 21 MR. JOHNSON: Certify the question. 22 22 Unlike you and what some of your clients THE WITNESS: He doesn't have to instruct 23 me not to answer that question. I'm not going to have done, I'm going to tell the truth. I'm not going 23 24 lie about anything that I say here today, nor would I 24 answer that question or any question like that 25 lie about anything that I put in the case already. because it's irrelevant.

Page 30 Page 32 BY MR. JOHNSON: 1 1 that, it's being intrusive to my client and 2 2 Q Is it your position for the Court that you designed to harass him. It's clear as glass, 3 3 decide what's relevant in the case and what's not clear as glass. 4 relevant? 4 MR. JOHNSON: It's your position on the 5 MR. GANT: I object. You're asking the 5 record here that asking a witness in a case 6 client to make a legal conclusion, interpretation 6 whether they've ever been arrested is intrusive, 7 of the law, and that is an improper question. 7 that's your --8 MR. JOHNSON: He just made a legal 8 MR. GANT: It's an --9 9 conclusion on the record. So I think I'm MR. JOHNSON: -- position. entitled to follow up on that. He just stated on 10 10 MR. GANT: It's an improper question. the record that he thinks it's irrelevant. And MR. JOHNSON: Okay. That's your position. 11 11 by your own statement, that's a legal conclusion. 12 MR. GANT: Certify it. 12 13 So I have a right to follow up and ask him 13 MR. JOHNSON: It is. what. -- -MR. GANT: He answered. 14 14 15 MR. GANT: What was your question, sir? 15 BY MR. JOHNSON: 16 BY MR. JOHNSON: 16 0 Okay. All right. When was the first time Are you under the impression that it's 17 17 you were arrested? 18 your -- it's your right or your position to decide 18 MR. GANT: Don't answer the question. 19 what is relevant or not relevant in this case? 19 Already answered. 20 20 No, but it's my position and I believe it's MR. JOHNSON: Are you telling him not to 21 my right to answer a question or not answer a question 21 answer? 22 if I believe it to be irrelevant. 22 MR. GANT: Yes, I am. MR. JOHNSON: I'm going to ask -- this is 23 23 Q That's your understanding? 24 Α That's my understanding, that I don't --24 the way it's supposed to work, Mr. Gant. MR. GANT: Sure. 25 0 Okay. Page 31 Page 33 1 -- that I'm not going to -- that I don't 1 MR. JOHNSON: I'm going to ask the 2 2 have to answer a question that is irrelevant to what question. If you have an objection, and tell him 3 3 our discussion is about. not to answer, that's great. That's an awesome MR. GANT: But let me make clear, my 4 job. That's your job. 4 5 5 objection is that is designed to harass my MR. GANT: Thank you. 6 client, put him in -- it puts him in a bad light. 6 MR. JOHNSON: But you have to let me ask 7 7 That's my objection, as his counsel. the question. 8 MR. JOHNSON: All right. And just for the MR. GANT: I will. I will. 9 9 record, the question was asked for simple MR. JOHNSON: Okay? And I just -- I just 10 background information that I believe we're 10 ask, going forward, that we be patient with each 11 entitled to, as we pick a jury in this case. 11 other. There are certain questions I'm going to 12 And -- and it's also reasonably calculated to 12 ask that you're not going to like. It's 13 potentially lead to admissible evidence. 13 definitely within your right to tell your client BY MR. JOHNSON: 14 14 not to answer, I respect that. 15 Q Now, throughout your tenure at COGIC, have 15 MR. GANT: Thank you. 16 16 you ever been disciplined? MR. JOHNSON: I just want to be able to ask 17 Α Throughout my COGIC -- throughout my tenure 17 the question without being personally attacked. 18 at COGIC, have I ever been disciplined? Not as I 18 MR. GANT: You're not being attacked, 19 remember. 19 Mr. Johnson. No, I'm not attacking you. 20 Fair enough. Have you ever been arrested? 20 MR. JOHNSON: All right. Q 21 Α Been arrested twice in my life. One for --21 BY MR. JOHNSON: 22 22 MR. GANT: I object. That is the extent --Q The second time you were arrested, when did 23 23 THE WITNESS: Go ahead. that happen? 24 MR. GANT: He answered the question, and 24 MR. GANT: Object. 25 25 just said twice, and that's his answer. Beyond MR. JOHNSON: Okay. I'm going to

	24		D 06
1	Page 34 certify are you telling him not to answer?	1	Page 36 record, I'm not doing it to be intrusive, which
2	MR. GANT: Yes, I am.	2	is why I'm not asking for his entire Social
3	MR. JOHNSON: Okay. And I'd also request	3	Security number, because I am very sympathetic to
4	that when you when you do object and you're	4	the fact that we don't like these things out
5	telling your client not to answer, that you do	5	there. My intention was only to ask for the last
6	so, so I understand. Okay?	6	four digits so I can make sure that if we do have
7	MR. GANT: I will. Thank you.	7	records or obtain records through discovery, that
8	BY MR. JOHNSON:	8	I can match them up for Mr. Green.
9	Q All right. I'm going to go back to the	9	MR. GANT: I object. Mr. Johnson, that is
10	first time you were arrested. Was it in the state of	10	disingenuous. I think it's not the norm in a
11	Florida?	11	civil case when when they're not a party to
12	MR. GANT: I object. Don't answer the	12	the case, to ask for such information. He's not
13	question, Mr. Green.	13	a party to the case. I think it's improper, and
14	BY MR. JOHNSON:	14	you know that, for the record.
15	Q The first time you were arrested, what	15	MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Certify the question.
16	county and state was it in?	16	BY MR. JOHNSON:
17	MR. GANT: I object. Mr. Green, don't	17	Q Okay. I apologize if you've answered this.
18	answer that question.	18	You did tell me you've been arrested twice. Have you
19	BY MR. JOHNSON:	19	ever been convicted of a crime?
20	Q The second time you were arrested, was it	20	A Never.
21	in the state of Florida?	21	Q All right. Have you ever been a party to a
22	MR. GANT: I object. Don't answer the	22	civil lawsuit?
23	question, sir, please.	23	A I'm a party to a civil lawsuit now with you
24	BY MR. JOHNSON:	24	and your clients. Defamation, as you know.
25	Q When the second time you were arrested,	25	Q Other than the defamation case
	g min are become think you were arrested,		g outer diam and deraille order
	Page 35		Page 37
1	Page 35 what county and state was it in?	1	Page 37 A I have, yes.
1 2		1 2	-
	what county and state was it in?		A I have, yes.
2	<pre>what county and state was it in?</pre>	2	A I have, yes. Q Okay. Please tell me the case title.
2 3	<pre>what county and state was it in?</pre>	2 3	A I have, yes. Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive,
2 3 4	<pre>what county and state was it in? MR. GANT: I object on the basis that it's designed to harass my client. And the question don't answer the question, sir. I</pre>	2 3 4	A I have, yes. Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad
2 3 4 5	what county and state was it in? MR. GANT: I object on the basis that it's designed to harass my client. And the question don't answer the question, sir. I instruct him not to answer.	2 3 4 5	A I have, yes. Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon
2 3 4 5	<pre>what county and state was it in?</pre>	2 3 4 5	A I have, yes. Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>what county and state was it in?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	A I have, yes. Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your insistence in trying this case through social
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>what county and state was it in?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I have, yes. Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your insistence in trying this case through social media, and your attempt to do so with those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>what county and state was it in?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I have, yes. Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your insistence in trying this case through social media, and your attempt to do so with those people that you know, such as Moses Tyson in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what county and state was it in? MR. GANT: I object on the basis that it's designed to harass my client. And the question don't answer the question, sir. I instruct him not to answer. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q What is your date of birth? A February the 5th, 1954. I'm 69, marching towards 70. Sixty-nine marching towards 70. Q I'm not going to ask you for your Social	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I have, yes. Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your insistence in trying this case through social media, and your attempt to do so with those people that you know, such as Moses Tyson in particular. Okay? And for those reasons, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what county and state was it in? MR. GANT: I object on the basis that it's designed to harass my client. And the question don't answer the question, sir. I instruct him not to answer. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q What is your date of birth? A February the 5th, 1954. I'm 69, marching towards 70. Sixty-nine marching towards 70. Q I'm not going to ask you for your Social Security number.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A I have, yes. Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your insistence in trying this case through social media, and your attempt to do so with those people that you know, such as Moses Tyson in particular. Okay? And for those reasons, I order my client not to answer the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what county and state was it in? MR. GANT: I object on the basis that it's designed to harass my client. And the question don't answer the question, sir. I instruct him not to answer. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q What is your date of birth? A February the 5th, 1954. I'm 69, marching towards 70. Sixty-nine marching towards 70. Q I'm not going to ask you for your Social Security number. A I'm not going to give it to you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I have, yes. Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your insistence in trying this case through social media, and your attempt to do so with those people that you know, such as Moses Tyson in particular. Okay? And for those reasons, I order my client not to answer the question. MR. JOHNSON: You understand I didn't ask a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what county and state was it in? MR. GANT: I object on the basis that it's designed to harass my client. And the question don't answer the question, sir. I instruct him not to answer. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q What is your date of birth? A February the 5th, 1954. I'm 69, marching towards 70. Sixty-nine marching towards 70. Q I'm not going to ask you for your Social Security number. A I'm not going to give it to you. Q I'm not going to ask for it. What are the last four digits of your Social Security	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I have, yes. Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your insistence in trying this case through social media, and your attempt to do so with those people that you know, such as Moses Tyson in particular. Okay? And for those reasons, I order my client not to answer the question. MR. JOHNSON: You understand I didn't ask a question yet. Do you understand I haven't even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what county and state was it in? MR. GANT: I object on the basis that it's designed to harass my client. And the question don't answer the question, sir. I instruct him not to answer. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q What is your date of birth? A February the 5th, 1954. I'm 69, marching towards 70. Sixty-nine marching towards 70. Q I'm not going to ask you for your Social Security number. A I'm not going to give it to you. Q I'm not going to ask for it. What are the last four digits of your Social Security	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I have, yes. Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your insistence in trying this case through social media, and your attempt to do so with those people that you know, such as Moses Tyson in particular. Okay? And for those reasons, I order my client not to answer the question. MR. JOHNSON: You understand I didn't ask a question yet. Do you understand I haven't even asked a question? Do you understand that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what county and state was it in? MR. GANT: I object on the basis that it's designed to harass my client. And the question don't answer the question, sir. I instruct him not to answer. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q What is your date of birth? A February the 5th, 1954. I'm 69, marching towards 70. Sixty-nine marching towards 70. Q I'm not going to ask you for your Social Security number. A I'm not going to give it to you. Q I'm not going to ask for it. What are the last four digits of your Social Security A I'm not going to give you any digits of my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I have, yes. Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your insistence in trying this case through social media, and your attempt to do so with those people that you know, such as Moses Tyson in particular. Okay? And for those reasons, I order my client not to answer the question. MR. JOHNSON: You understand I didn't ask a question yet. Do you understand I haven't even asked a question? Do you understand that, Mr. Gant?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what county and state was it in? MR. GANT: I object on the basis that it's designed to harass my client. And the question don't answer the question, sir. I instruct him not to answer. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q What is your date of birth? A February the 5th, 1954. I'm 69, marching towards 70. Sixty-nine marching towards 70. Q I'm not going to ask you for your Social Security number. A I'm not going to give it to you. Q I'm not going to ask for it. What are the last four digits of your Social Security A I'm not going to give you any digits of my Social Security number.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I have, yes. Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your insistence in trying this case through social media, and your attempt to do so with those people that you know, such as Moses Tyson in particular. Okay? And for those reasons, I order my client not to answer the question. MR. JOHNSON: You understand I didn't ask a question yet. Do you understand I haven't even asked a question? Do you understand that, Mr. Gant? MR. GANT: What did you say, Mr. Johnson?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what county and state was it in? MR. GANT: I object on the basis that it's designed to harass my client. And the question don't answer the question, sir. I instruct him not to answer. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q What is your date of birth? A February the 5th, 1954. I'm 69, marching towards 70. Sixty-nine marching towards 70. Q I'm not going to ask you for your Social Security number. A I'm not going to give it to you. Q I'm not going to ask for it. What are the last four digits of your Social Security A I'm not going to give you any digits of my Social Security number. MR. GANT: I object.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your insistence in trying this case through social media, and your attempt to do so with those people that you know, such as Moses Tyson in particular. Okay? And for those reasons, I order my client not to answer the question. MR. JOHNSON: You understand I didn't ask a question yet. Do you understand I haven't even asked a question? Do you understand that, Mr. Gant? MR. GANT: What did you say, Mr. Johnson? MR. JOHNSON: Because at some point
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what county and state was it in? MR. GANT: I object on the basis that it's designed to harass my client. And the question don't answer the question, sir. I instruct him not to answer. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q What is your date of birth? A February the 5th, 1954. I'm 69, marching towards 70. Sixty-nine marching towards 70. Q I'm not going to ask you for your Social Security number. A I'm not going to give it to you. Q I'm not going to ask for it. What are the last four digits of your Social Security A I'm not going to give you any digits of my Social Security number. MR. GANT: I object. MR. JOHNSON: Are you instructing him not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I have, yes. Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your insistence in trying this case through social media, and your attempt to do so with those people that you know, such as Moses Tyson in particular. Okay? And for those reasons, I order my client not to answer the question. MR. JOHNSON: You understand I didn't ask a question yet. Do you understand I haven't even asked a question? Do you understand that, Mr. Gant? MR. GANT: What did you say, Mr. Johnson? MR. JOHNSON: Because at some point MR. GANT: What was your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what county and state was it in? MR. GANT: I object on the basis that it's designed to harass my client. And the question don't answer the question, sir. I instruct him not to answer. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q What is your date of birth? A February the 5th, 1954. I'm 69, marching towards 70. Sixty-nine marching towards 70. Q I'm not going to ask you for your Social Security number. A I'm not going to give it to you. Q I'm not going to ask for it. What are the last four digits of your Social Security A I'm not going to give you any digits of my Social Security number. MR. GANT: I object. MR. JOHNSON: Are you instructing him not to answer. MR. GANT: I am.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A I have, yes. Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your insistence in trying this case through social media, and your attempt to do so with those people that you know, such as Moses Tyson in particular. Okay? And for those reasons, I order my client not to answer the question. MR. JOHNSON: You understand I didn't ask a question yet. Do you understand I haven't even asked a question? Do you understand that, Mr. Gant? MR. GANT: What did you say, Mr. Johnson? MR. JOHNSON: Because at some point MR. GANT: What was your MR. JOHNSON: we might okay. I'm just stating this because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what county and state was it in? MR. GANT: I object on the basis that it's designed to harass my client. And the question don't answer the question, sir. I instruct him not to answer. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q What is your date of birth? A February the 5th, 1954. I'm 69, marching towards 70. Sixty-nine marching towards 70. Q I'm not going to ask you for your Social Security number. A I'm not going to give it to you. Q I'm not going to ask for it. What are the last four digits of your Social Security A I'm not going to give you any digits of my Social Security number. MR. GANT: I object. MR. JOHNSON: Are you instructing him not to answer. MR. GANT: I am. MR. JOHNSON: Okay. All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your insistence in trying this case through social media, and your attempt to do so with those people that you know, such as Moses Tyson in particular. Okay? And for those reasons, I order my client not to answer the question. MR. JOHNSON: You understand I didn't ask a question yet. Do you understand I haven't even asked a question? Do you understand that, Mr. Gant? MR. GANT: What did you say, Mr. Johnson? MR. JOHNSON: Because at some point MR. GANT: What was your MR. JOHNSON: we might okay. I'm just stating this because MR. GANT: What was your question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what county and state was it in? MR. GANT: I object on the basis that it's designed to harass my client. And the question don't answer the question, sir. I instruct him not to answer. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q What is your date of birth? A February the 5th, 1954. I'm 69, marching towards 70. Sixty-nine marching towards 70. Q I'm not going to ask you for your Social Security number. A I'm not going to give it to you. Q I'm not going to ask for it. What are the last four digits of your Social Security A I'm not going to give you any digits of my Social Security number. MR. GANT: I object. MR. JOHNSON: Are you instructing him not to answer. MR. GANT: I am. MR. JOHNSON: Okay. All right. And for the record, Social Security numbers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your insistence in trying this case through social media, and your attempt to do so with those people that you know, such as Moses Tyson in particular. Okay? And for those reasons, I order my client not to answer the question. MR. JOHNSON: You understand I didn't ask a question yet. Do you understand I haven't even asked a question? Do you understand that, Mr. Gant? MR. GANT: What did you say, Mr. Johnson? MR. JOHNSON: Because at some point MR. GANT: What was your MR. GANT: What was your MR. JOHNSON: we might okay. I'm just stating this because MR. GANT: What was your question? MR. JOHNSON: No. Mr. Gant, you're not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what county and state was it in? MR. GANT: I object on the basis that it's designed to harass my client. And the question don't answer the question, sir. I instruct him not to answer. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q What is your date of birth? A February the 5th, 1954. I'm 69, marching towards 70. Sixty-nine marching towards 70. Q I'm not going to ask you for your Social Security number. A I'm not going to give it to you. Q I'm not going to ask for it. What are the last four digits of your Social Security A I'm not going to give you any digits of my Social Security number. MR. GANT: I object. MR. JOHNSON: Are you instructing him not to answer. MR. GANT: I am. MR. JOHNSON: Okay. All right. And for the record, Social Security numbers are a very common question for all witnesses in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your insistence in trying this case through social media, and your attempt to do so with those people that you know, such as Moses Tyson in particular. Okay? And for those reasons, I order my client not to answer the question. MR. JOHNSON: You understand I didn't ask a question yet. Do you understand I haven't even asked a question? Do you understand that, Mr. Gant? MR. GANT: What did you say, Mr. Johnson? MR. JOHNSON: Because at some point MR. GANT: What was your MR. JOHNSON: we might okay. I'm just stating this because MR. GANT: What was your question? MR. JOHNSON: No. Mr. Gant, you're not going to intimidate us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what county and state was it in? MR. GANT: I object on the basis that it's designed to harass my client. And the question don't answer the question, sir. I instruct him not to answer. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q What is your date of birth? A February the 5th, 1954. I'm 69, marching towards 70. Sixty-nine marching towards 70. Q I'm not going to ask you for your Social Security number. A I'm not going to give it to you. Q I'm not going to ask for it. What are the last four digits of your Social Security A I'm not going to give you any digits of my Social Security number. MR. GANT: I object. MR. JOHNSON: Are you instructing him not to answer. MR. GANT: I am. MR. JOHNSON: Okay. All right. And for the record, Social Security numbers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. Please tell me the case title. MR. GANT: Object. Object. Intrusive, harassment, designed to put my client in a bad light. And we reiterate, which should be soon shown later on, your proclivity and your insistence in trying this case through social media, and your attempt to do so with those people that you know, such as Moses Tyson in particular. Okay? And for those reasons, I order my client not to answer the question. MR. JOHNSON: You understand I didn't ask a question yet. Do you understand I haven't even asked a question? Do you understand that, Mr. Gant? MR. GANT: What did you say, Mr. Johnson? MR. JOHNSON: Because at some point MR. GANT: What was your MR. GANT: What was your MR. JOHNSON: we might okay. I'm just stating this because MR. GANT: What was your question? MR. JOHNSON: No. Mr. Gant, you're not

Page 38 Page 40 1 and is going to be, what is the case style of --1 to this case and to background information 2 2 MR. GANT: You asked -- you made those regarding any witness. And credibility and 3 3 comment, what's the case style, you asked that background information cases (sic), to my 4 question, and I objected at that point in time. 4 knowledge, are appropriate and allowable. And if 5 But play it back, the court reporter, she'll tell 5 I thought otherwise, I wouldn't ask the question. 6 6 you. So -- and I'm not testifying. I'm trying 7 MR. JOHNSON: I'm in the middle of a 7 to work something out with counsel on the record 8 8 so we can proceed with discovery in the case. question. 9 9 MR. GANT: And what you said was improper Would you like to say anything else before 10 10 questioning designed to harass my client. I I resume my questions? objected at the appropriate time, Mr. Johnson. 11 MR. GANT: Yes, I -- yes, I would, 11 12 12 It was time to object to it at that moment, Mr. Johnson. 13 before you go out there and testify. 13 Mr. Johnson, as I said, some of your MR. JOHNSON: I didn't finish the question. 14 14 questioning is being poised in, oh --15 MR. GANT: You can't just testify -- I 15 saying that, oh, issue -- or saying attorneys do 16 don't want you testifying in this case. You 16 like -- in the course of being like "when is the 17 don't have to testify, sir. I don't want you 17 last time you beat your wife --" 18 testifying. You're not a witness. 18 MR. JOHNSON: I didn't ask that. 19 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. All right. Again, I'm 19 MR. GANT: -- or "when did you stop beating going to ask that you let me get my question 20 your wife." Your questions along those lines are 20 21 21 out -being disquised as questions, but at the same 22 MR. GANT: If it's proper and if you're not 22 time, you're testifying. You're putting it under 23 23 testifying. You want to put things in the record a predicate that's not true, and he can't respond 24 for your benefit under the quise of a question so 24 to. You don't even care whether he responds to it will be there. I'm not going to play that 25 it or not, you want to lay the predicate to put Page 39 Page 41 1 game, Mr. Johnson, with you. We're not going to 1 it on the record, as like "Have you stopped 2 2 do it today. beating your wife, sir?" You know, like you're 3 3 MR. JOHNSON: If you feel that I'm doing suggesting my client beat his wife. Or any things improper, you do understand that it's 4 client, for that matter. I'm not playing that 4 your -- presumably -- I don't know this for a 5 5 game, Mr. Johnson, today. I can't --6 fact from a legal standpoint, but I'm presuming 6 As far as stopping the deposition, you can 7 it's within your right to stop the deposition and 7 also stop the depo, also, on your own. You can 8 8 seek direction or rulings from the Court. And I do that, too. Take it to the judge and certify 9 9 would request that you do so, so that we, all of the questions. That would also save yourself 10 us here, do not waste our time and money and 10 time, and your client's time and money. You can 11 because -- let me finish, please. Because my 11 also do the same thing that I can do, okay? 12 12 clients are going to considerable expense MR. JOHNSON: Uh-huh. 13 relative to legal fees, fees related to the court 13 MR. GANT: The ball is in your court also. 14 14 reporter, to the videographer, et cetera. And MR. JOHNSON: Uh-huh. 15 what I'm doing now is I'm inviting you to save 15 MR. GANT: I'm not going to play the game 16 16 all of this time and money, if you reach a point of trying to put my client in a bad light for a 17 where you feel that it's appropriate, because I 17 social media heyday. We're not going to play 18 don't want to waste your time or Bishop Green's 18 those games. If I'm wrong, the judge will tell 19 time either. That's my request. 19 me. I understand that, too, okay? 20 Now, I'm going to ask -- again, I would 20 MR. JOHNSON: Uh-huh. 21 like to be able to ask my questions. And when I 21 MR. GANT: So conduct yourself 22 22 finish my question, you absolutely have a right accordingly --23 to object, and you certainly have a right to tell 23 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. 24 your client not to answer. But I have a right to 24 MR. GANT: -- based on what I just told 25 ask questions that I feel are arguably relevant you. If you think the question, "Sir, when is

Page 42 Page 44 1 the last time you beat your wife" is an 1 Α And I'm not going to give you an 2 2 appropriate question, you think those type approximate answer. 3 3 questions are appropriate in this context, Okay. What counties in the state of 4 then you -- I don't. Okay? 4 Florida have you been a party to in civil matters? 5 MR. JOHNSON: I think the record will 5 Other than Escambia, I'm aware of Escambia. 6 reflect that I haven't accused him of anything, 6 MR. GANT: I object to the questioning. It's totally irrelevant. It's totally designed nor have I asked him if he ever beat his wife. 7 7 MR. GANT: I was suggesting a parity, 8 for purposes other than trying to -- the laws and 8 9 9 Mr. Johnson, not your question to him. That was rules of our system allow you to ask questions an example of what you're trying to -- to -- to 10 about, Mr. Johnson, totally irrelevant. 10 get -- do in this case. 11 MR. JOHNSON: Are you instructing him not 11 12 MR. JOHNSON: I'm going to ask my 12 to answer? 13 13 MR. GANT: Yes, I am. questions. Okay. BY MR. JOHNSON: 14 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. 14 MR. GANT: Don't answer the question, sir. 15 Have you ever been a party to a civil suit 15 MR. JOHNSON: Just so I -- I'm trying to 16 other than the defamation case you referenced earlier? 16 17 17 make this a little easier for the court reporter, 18 Okay. How many civil suits have you been a 18 is I would like to state on the record that I'm 19 party to? 19 going to certify each objection made by opposing 20 counsel during the course of the deposition. 20 Α Can't remember. 21 21 That way I don't have to keep repeating myself. Okay. The first civil suit that you were a 22 party to, what county and state was it in? 22 Okay. 23 23 Α Can't remember. BY MR. JOHNSON: Is that your honest answer under oath? 24 0 24 0 Okay. What is your title now with COGIC? 25 Α Unlike you and your clients, I'm going to Bishop. Page 43 Page 45 1 tell you the truth. I can't remember, and that's the 1 0 Okay. As bishop, do you have any other 2 2 titles? truth. 3 3 I don't understand your question. You Okay. I'm going to ask -- I'm going to 4 broaden the question. Other than the defamation case 4 asked me what my title is with COGIC. My title is 5 5 that you referenced earlier that involves myself and bishop. 6 some of my clients, do you recall the approximate 6 Okay. Am I correct that COGIC, at least in 7 dates of any of those civil cases? 7 the United States, is divided up into separate 8 8 Α Can't remember. jurisdictions? Is that accurate? 9 Okay. Other than the defamation case 9 I think you know that COGIC is divided up 10 involving myself and my clients, can you remember the 10 into separate jurisdictions because you've had 11 state and the county in which any of your prior civil 11 experiences in litigation involving COGIC. And so to 12 matters were filed in? 12 say that you think you're correct, I would agree that 13 Α I'm sure most of the cases that I've been 13 you are correct, it is divided up into separate involved in have been filed in the state of Florida 14 jurisdictions. 14 15 with the exception of one, I think, that was filed in 15 Okay. One of those jurisdictions is called Alabama. 16 or referenced as Northwest Florida Jurisdiction; is 16 17 0 Do you remember what county in Alabama? 17 that correct? 18 Α I can't remember -- in Alabama? Don't 18 Α That is correct. 19 remember the name of the county, no. 19 Okay. And what is your position relative 20 Do you remember the city? 20 to the Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? Q 21 Α Monroeville. 21 I already answered. 22 22 Q Do you remember approximately when that Do you understand the question? 23 23 was? I understand your question. I answered 24 I can't remember exactly. 24 your question when you asked me what position do I Α 25 25 have with COGIC, I told you bishop. Q Uh-huh.

Page 48 1 Okay. Are you the only bishop of COGIC? the personnel in the Northwest Florida Jurisdiction. Q 1 2 2 What are the districts within Northwest Α I consider that question to be an insult 0 3 because you know that COGIC has -- as you just said, 3 Florida Jurisdiction? 4 it's divided into several jurisdictions, and of course 4 We have seven or eight. I can't think of 5 I can't be the only bishop in COGIC. So the answer to 5 all -- the name of each district at this point, but we 6 your question is obviously no. 6 have seven or eight districts. 7 7 Okay. What are the districts? I mean, you 0 Okay. Are -- can you give me the name of 8 any other bishop in COGIC? 8 don't have to -- I understand you can't give me the 9 9 I can give you the name of quite a few names, but what is a district? 10 A district usually consists of two or three 10 bishops in COGIC, but --11 I'm asking you to give me one, please. 11 churches functioning as a unit within the jurisdiction 0 12 I can give you the name of one other bishop 12 itself. Α 13 in COGIC, if that's all you want. 13 Q Okay. 14 That's all I want. 14 Α Led by a superintendent, district 15 Α All you want is another bishop. Bishop 15 missionary, and include other pastors and officers. 16 Steve Collins. 16 Okay. Westside Church of God in Christ of 17 Okay. Does Bishop Steve Collins have a 17 Escambia County Florida, Inc., what jur -- or what Q 18 position in COGIC relative to Northwest Florida 18 district was that church in as being in the Jurisdiction? jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? 19 19 20 20 It's in the same district I believe that it Α He does. 21 21 was in -- well, at that time it was -- I can't even Q What is his position relative to Northwest 22 Florida Jurisdiction? 22 think of the name of the district, to think about it. 23 23 Α $\ensuremath{\operatorname{\textsc{His}}}$ position is that of superintendent and It was led by Superintendent -- Superintendent 24 pastor. 24 Johnson, and I can't think of the name of that district at this point. 25 0 Okay. So in the same vein, I am asking you Page 47 Page 49 1 what is your position within Northwest Florida 1 Q Okay. 2 Jurisdiction? 2 Α And I apologize for that. 3 That's okay. Does each district have a 3 Within the Northwest Florida Jurisdiction, 4 I am the bishop over the jurisdiction. 4 distinguishable name then? 5 5 Okay. Thank you. Each district has a distinguishable name, Q 6 Α I told you that earlier. 6 such as the district that -- and it almost came to me. 7 Q Okay. You seem very angry today --7 If I think long enough, the district will come to me, but -- such as Northwest Florida District. There is a 8 Α Not angry --8 9 9 district called Northwest Florida District. There's a 0 -- what's going on? 10 Not angry at all. I love you and everybody 10 district called Evangelist -- Evangelistic District. 11 in here. 11 And there's a district that's Tristate. So each 12 district has a separate and distinct name. 12 Q 13 I just found it offensive that you tried to 13 Okay. Westside Church of God in Christ of Α 14 Escambia County, Florida, Inc., within the district 14 use the name of Jesus in trying to conduct prayer, at 15 the same time you have all of these negative issues. 15 that that church was in, were there other churches 16 within that same district? 16 I'm not angry with anybody. And when you're angry, 17 you lose your composure, and when you're angry, it's 17 Α Yes. 18 next to insanity, and I try not to ever be angry. 18 Okay. What are the names of those churches 19 As bishop of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction 19 that were in the same district as that? 20 within COGIC, what are your duties and 20 I can't remember each church that was in 21 responsibilities? 21 that district. That district no longer exists at this 22 22 point. And I know it was Bethel Salone, maybe. Α Supervision primarily. 23 All right. Supervision of what? 23 That's one that I can remember. And U.L. Johnson's Q 24 Of the churches, the ministries, the 24 church, I can't think of the name of his church, but 25 programs, the departments, the districts, and all of he was a district superintendent. I'm not sure if his

Page 50 Page 52 1 church is still operating, but he's no longer within get your permission to transfer jurisdictions? 1 2 2 our jurisdiction, so... They don't have to contact me and get my 3 3 permission to transfer it, but they have to go through Why does that district no longer exist? 4 Α Why does that district no longer exist? 4 the process of completing the forms, submitting the 5 Because the district superintendent and the pastor 5 forms, and I will be copied on the forms that they 6 6 that were involved changed jurisdictions. They're no submit to the Church of God in Christ. 7 7 Okay. Have you ever had any of the longer in Florida Northwest. 8 What was the name of that superintendent? 8 churches under your jurisdiction request a transfer 9 9 U.L. Johnson. into another jurisdiction, other than the New Α 10 Warrington Church? 10 0 Okay. THE STENOGRAPHER: What Johnson? 11 Δ I have. 11 12 THE WITNESS: U.L. Johnson. 12 Okay. I think you indicated earlier there 13 13 was another church in the local district that included And the church's name was New Warrington Church of God in Christ. 14 Pastor Watts' church, that may have moved. Was there 14 15 BY MR. JOHNSON: 15 another one? 16 0 And Superintendent Johnson, to your 16 Α There was. 17 knowledge, is he still a superintendent, I guess, 17 What church was that? 18 within COGIC? 18 Bethel Salone. Α 19 Α Don't know. 19 Okay. Was that here in Escambia County? 20 20 Q Do you know what jurisdiction they Α You know Bethel Salone, you represented 21 21 them at one point. That is in Escambia County. transferred to? Don't know. 22 Α 22 Q And when did they transfer away from 23 23 Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? 0 Okay. 24 Α Other than I know it was in Alabama. I 24 It would have been sometime last year. I can't give you the name of that jurisdiction --25 can't give you an exact date and month, but it would Page 51 Page 53 1 Q 1 have been last year, 2023 perhaps -- 2022 perhaps. 2 2 Α -- because it's not something that I would Who was the pastor of that church at that 3 know off the top of my head. 3 time? When did that happen? 4 Α 4 Q Michael Banks. 5 5 What jurisdiction did they transfer to? Α Last year. 6 0 Do you know why U.L. Johnson and the New 6 Can't tell you the answer to that question. 7 Warrington Church changed jurisdictions? 7 It was a jurisdiction in Alabama, same jurisdiction 8 Α You would have to ask him. 8 U.L. Johnson transferred into. 9 9 0 Well, my question to you is --0 Okay. 10 10 Α To my knowledge. Do I know why? No. 11 Did you have any communication with him 11 All right. Do you know why Bethel Salone relative to changing the jurisdiction? 12 transferred out of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? 12 13 He communicated with the national church, 13 You would have to ask them. 14 and I can't tell you why he changed jurisdictions. 14 Well, do you know why? 15 The Church of God in Christ allows a church to 15 Α No. If I knew, I would tell you. Q Has any source ever advised you why they 16 petition to transfer once a year from one jurisdiction 16 17 to another. He submitted a petition, and he was 17 transferred? 18 allowed to transfer. 18 MR. GANT: I object, because of 19 Okay. Did he ask you permission to seek 19 speculation, asked and answered. 20 that transfer, as the Jurisdictional Bishop? 20 BY MR. JOHNSON: 21 He didn't have to ask my permission, he had 21 Has any source ever told you why Bethel 22 to follow the procedure outlined by the Church of God 22 Salone transferred out of the jurisdiction? 23 in Christ. 23 Asked and answered. 24 Q Okay. Does a church wishing to transfer 24 Q Are you refusing to answer the question? 25 jurisdictions need to contact you, as the bishop, and Asked and answered.

Page 54 Page 56 Are you refusing --1 wanting to transfer out of the jurisdiction? 1 2 2 MR. GANT: I object, arguing with the They went through the process. They have 3 3 witness. He says that -to complete forms -- complete forms and submit them MR. JOHNSON: I have a right to know if the 4 4 through the Church of God in Christ's portal. They 5 witness is refusing to answer. That's all. 5 did not notify me directly. I was notified through 6 MR. GANT: He gave an answer. Just play it 6 the process. back. We're not going to -- we're not going 7 7 0 Okay. Did anybody from Bethel Salone ask 8 to -- we're not going to do this. You're not 8 your permission to transfer out of the jurisdiction? 9 9 going to intimidate me, Mr. Johnson. You're not You don't have to ask my permission to 10 transfer out of the jurisdiction. That's not part of 10 going to do that today, okay? MR. JOHNSON: Okay. 11 the process. 11 12 MR. GANT: Nor my client, neither one of 12 Q Nevertheless, though, you tell me it's not 13 13 part of the process, and I don't disbelieve you. My us. But for the record -- for the record, on 14 question is, did anybody from Bethel Salone ask your 14 15 the record, we have Mr. -- to know who all's in 15 permission to transfer out of the jurisdiction? 16 attendance today at the deposition, in 16 Asked and answered. 17 attendance. Pastor Watts, of course, another 17 Are you refusing to answer the question? 18 defendant. 18 I already answered your question. 19 Good morning, ma'am. 19 Sir, you told me that it's not part of the 20 process. I'm sure you would agree with me that people 20 Who is the other party here, the person 21 21 here, if I may ask? Who's the other person? and entities often do things that aren't part of an 22 MR. JOHNSON: Oh. Ms. Felicia Watts-Kidd. 22 official process. Would you agree with me on that? 23 23 MR. GANT: Okay. Α I've already answered your question. 24 MR. JOHNSON: Did I pronounce that 24 0 Sir, would you agree with me? 25 correctly? I've already answered your question. Page 57 Page 55 1 MS. WATTS-KIDD: (Nods head.) 1 MR. GANT: Kind of speculation. Object, 2 2 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. what people seem to do sometime. 3 3 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. MR. GANT: Thank you. MR. JOHNSON: Okay. And for the record, 4 MR. GANT: That's my objection --4 5 5 she's a board member of one of -- of the MR. JOHNSON: Is your objection that it's 6 defendant entity. 6 speculation to ask him -- I'm asking him to 7 MR. GANT: Okay. 7 speculate if somebody from Bethel Salone asked 8 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Are you alleging she 8 his permission to leave the jurisdiction? 9 9 has no right to be here? MR. GANT: I heard you say what people seem 10 MR. GANT: Of course not. 10 to do sometime. What people seem to do 11 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Are you instructing 11 sometime --12 12 your client not to answer the last question? MR. JOHNSON: Oh, I see. 13 13 MR. GANT: -- that phrase. MR. GANT: What's the last question? I'm 14 MR. JOHNSON: Understood. Understood. 14 sorry, I don't recall. 15 MR. JOHNSON: I mean, I hate to do it, but 15 Okay. 16 BY MR. JOHNSON: 16 can you read it back to us, ma'am? 17 (The aforementioned testimony was read by 17 So I'm going to ask one last time. Did 18 the reporter as recorded above.) 18 anybody from Bethel Salone ask your permission for 19 BY MR. JOHNSON: 19 them to leave Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? 20 Has any source ever told you the reason why 20 Again, as part of the process in the Church 21 Bethel Salone transferred out of the jurisdiction? 21 of God in Christ, you don't have to ask for permission 22 I think I've already answered it, but I 22 to go through the process of changing jurisdictions. 23 23 don't mind telling you again. No. That's the process. 24 Did Bethel Salone -- did any representative 24 Though it was not part of the process, did 25 25 of Bethel Salone notify you directly that they were anybody from Bethel Salone, nevertheless --

	Da 50		P (0
1	Page 58 A I've answered your question.	1	Page 60 called the Book of Certified Amendments.
2	Q ask can I finish my question, please?	2	Q Are you familiar with the Book of Certified
3	A Go ahead.	3	Amendments?
4	Q And then if you and your counsel don't want	4	A I'm familiar with both.
5	to answer, that's your right.	5	Q Okay. Would you say you've read them all
6	Did anybody from Bethel Salone ask your	6	completely?
7	permission to transfer out of the jurisdiction?	7	A I would tell you that I've read them all
8	A I've already answered your question. It	8	completely several times.
9	may not be the answer that you want, but I've given	9	Q Would you consider yourself an expert on
10	you my answer. I've given it to you at least twice.	10	the policies and procedures contained in the official
11	Q Okay. All right. During your tenure as	11	manual, as well as the book of amendments?
12	bishop of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction, have any	12	A I'm not an expert.
13	other churches transferred out of your jurisdiction?	13	Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge is
14	A I've answered that question as well, and	14	it okay if I call that other book the book of
15	I'll answer it again. Yes.	15	amendments?
16	Q Okay. What other churches have transferred	16	A You can call it whatever you want to call
17	out of your jurisdiction?	17	it.
18	A Can't go back through the list that have	18	Q Well, no, I want to make sure you and I are
19	transferred out over the years. And so at this point	19	understanding each other. What's the official name of
20	I can't recall.	20	the book, then?
21	Q Who would have that information?	21	A As I remember, it's called the Book of
22	A The Church of God in Christ headquarters.	22	Certified Amendments.
23	Q Who within the headquarters at Church of	23	Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does
24	God in Christ? And I'm not asking necessarily for a	24	the Book of Certified Amendments contain any
25	specific person, but to your knowledge, is there a	25	directions or policies or procedures relative to a
1 2	Page 59 department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches	1 2	local church transferring jurisdictions? A I'm sure it does.
	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis		local church transferring jurisdictions?
2	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches	2	local church transferring jurisdictions? A I'm sure it does.
2	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction	2 3	local church transferring jurisdictions? A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it?
2 3 4	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction?	2 3 4	local church transferring jurisdictions? A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge.
2 3 4 5	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the	2 3 4 5	local church transferring jurisdictions? A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does
2 3 4 5	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the general secretary to maintain all records. Whether or	2 3 4 5 6	local church transferring jurisdictions? A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does the official manual also contain the directions or
2 3 4 5 6	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the general secretary to maintain all records. Whether or not he would have a record of that, you would have to	2 3 4 5 6 7	local church transferring jurisdictions? A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does the official manual also contain the directions or policies or procedures relative to a local church
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the general secretary to maintain all records. Whether or not he would have a record of that, you would have to ask him, but that's his job. And that would be the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	local church transferring jurisdictions? A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does the official manual also contain the directions or policies or procedures relative to a local church requesting transfer from a jurisdiction?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the general secretary to maintain all records. Whether or not he would have a record of that, you would have to ask him, but that's his job. And that would be the source that I would refer you to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	local church transferring jurisdictions? A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does the official manual also contain the directions or policies or procedures relative to a local church requesting transfer from a jurisdiction? A It does not specifically address the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the general secretary to maintain all records. Whether or not he would have a record of that, you would have to ask him, but that's his job. And that would be the source that I would refer you to. Q Okay. Have you ever been the bishop of any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does the official manual also contain the directions or policies or procedures relative to a local church requesting transfer from a jurisdiction? A It does not specifically address the process of transferring. That's covered by the Book
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the general secretary to maintain all records. Whether or not he would have a record of that, you would have to ask him, but that's his job. And that would be the source that I would refer you to. Q Okay. Have you ever been the bishop of any other jurisdiction other than Northwest Florida	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does the official manual also contain the directions or policies or procedures relative to a local church requesting transfer from a jurisdiction? A It does not specifically address the process of transferring. That's covered by the Book of Certified Amendments.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the general secretary to maintain all records. Whether or not he would have a record of that, you would have to ask him, but that's his job. And that would be the source that I would refer you to. Q Okay. Have you ever been the bishop of any other jurisdiction other than Northwest Florida Jurisdiction?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	local church transferring jurisdictions? A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does the official manual also contain the directions or policies or procedures relative to a local church requesting transfer from a jurisdiction? A It does not specifically address the process of transferring. That's covered by the Book of Certified Amendments. Q Okay. And are you familiar with that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the general secretary to maintain all records. Whether or not he would have a record of that, you would have to ask him, but that's his job. And that would be the source that I would refer you to. Q Okay. Have you ever been the bishop of any other jurisdiction other than Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A Never.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	local church transferring jurisdictions? A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does the official manual also contain the directions or policies or procedures relative to a local church requesting transfer from a jurisdiction? A It does not specifically address the process of transferring. That's covered by the Book of Certified Amendments. Q Okay. And are you familiar with that procedure?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the general secretary to maintain all records. Whether or not he would have a record of that, you would have to ask him, but that's his job. And that would be the source that I would refer you to. Q Okay. Have you ever been the bishop of any other jurisdiction other than Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A Never. Q All right. The procedures relative to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does the official manual also contain the directions or policies or procedures relative to a local church requesting transfer from a jurisdiction? A It does not specifically address the process of transferring. That's covered by the Book of Certified Amendments. Q Okay. And are you familiar with that procedure? A I am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the general secretary to maintain all records. Whether or not he would have a record of that, you would have to ask him, but that's his job. And that would be the source that I would refer you to. Q Okay. Have you ever been the bishop of any other jurisdiction other than Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A Never. Q All right. The procedures relative to transferring out of a jurisdiction that a local church	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does the official manual also contain the directions or policies or procedures relative to a local church requesting transfer from a jurisdiction? A It does not specifically address the process of transferring. That's covered by the Book of Certified Amendments. Q Okay. And are you familiar with that procedure? A I am. Q Okay. Please tell us what that is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the general secretary to maintain all records. Whether or not he would have a record of that, you would have to ask him, but that's his job. And that would be the source that I would refer you to. Q Okay. Have you ever been the bishop of any other jurisdiction other than Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A Never. Q All right. The procedures relative to transferring out of a jurisdiction that a local church must adhere to, where are those to be found?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does the official manual also contain the directions or policies or procedures relative to a local church requesting transfer from a jurisdiction? A It does not specifically address the process of transferring. That's covered by the Book of Certified Amendments. Q Okay. And are you familiar with that procedure? A I am. Q Okay. Please tell us what that is. A Tell me what you want to know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the general secretary to maintain all records. Whether or not he would have a record of that, you would have to ask him, but that's his job. And that would be the source that I would refer you to. Q Okay. Have you ever been the bishop of any other jurisdiction other than Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A Never. Q All right. The procedures relative to transferring out of a jurisdiction that a local church must adhere to, where are those to be found? A They are found in the official manual of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does the official manual also contain the directions or policies or procedures relative to a local church requesting transfer from a jurisdiction? A It does not specifically address the process of transferring. That's covered by the Book of Certified Amendments. Q Okay. And are you familiar with that procedure? A I am. Q Okay. Please tell us what that is. A Tell me what you want to know. Q I want to know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the general secretary to maintain all records. Whether or not he would have a record of that, you would have to ask him, but that's his job. And that would be the source that I would refer you to. Q Okay. Have you ever been the bishop of any other jurisdiction other than Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A Never. Q All right. The procedures relative to transferring out of a jurisdiction that a local church must adhere to, where are those to be found? A They are found in the official manual of the Church of God in Christ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does the official manual also contain the directions or policies or procedures relative to a local church requesting transfer from a jurisdiction? A It does not specifically address the process of transferring. That's covered by the Book of Certified Amendments. Q Okay. And are you familiar with that procedure? A I am. Q Okay. Please tell us what that is. A Tell me what you want to know. Q I want to know A Excuse me. Do you have a specific question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the general secretary to maintain all records. Whether or not he would have a record of that, you would have to ask him, but that's his job. And that would be the source that I would refer you to. Q Okay. Have you ever been the bishop of any other jurisdiction other than Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A Never. Q All right. The procedures relative to transferring out of a jurisdiction that a local church must adhere to, where are those to be found? A They are found in the official manual of the Church of God in Christ. Q Okay. Now, I have with me a little black	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	local church transferring jurisdictions? A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does the official manual also contain the directions or policies or procedures relative to a local church requesting transfer from a jurisdiction? A It does not specifically address the process of transferring. That's covered by the Book of Certified Amendments. Q Okay. And are you familiar with that procedure? A I am. Q Okay. Please tell us what that is. A Tell me what you want to know. Q I want to know A Excuse me. Do you have a specific question that you want to know?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the general secretary to maintain all records. Whether or not he would have a record of that, you would have to ask him, but that's his job. And that would be the source that I would refer you to. Q Okay. Have you ever been the bishop of any other jurisdiction other than Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A Never. Q All right. The procedures relative to transferring out of a jurisdiction that a local church must adhere to, where are those to be found? A They are found in the official manual of the Church of God in Christ. Q Okay. Now, I have with me a little black book that says "Church of God in Christ Official	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	local church transferring jurisdictions? A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does the official manual also contain the directions or policies or procedures relative to a local church requesting transfer from a jurisdiction? A It does not specifically address the process of transferring. That's covered by the Book of Certified Amendments. Q Okay. And are you familiar with that procedure? A I am. Q Okay. Please tell us what that is. A Tell me what you want to know. Q I want to know A Excuse me. Do you have a specific question that you want to know? Q Well, I want to know I'll ask it as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the general secretary to maintain all records. Whether or not he would have a record of that, you would have to ask him, but that's his job. And that would be the source that I would refer you to. Q Okay. Have you ever been the bishop of any other jurisdiction other than Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A Never. Q All right. The procedures relative to transferring out of a jurisdiction that a local church must adhere to, where are those to be found? A They are found in the official manual of the Church of God in Christ. Q Okay. Now, I have with me a little black book that says "Church of God in Christ Official Manual." Of course, I'm not going to put this in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	local church transferring jurisdictions? A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does the official manual also contain the directions or policies or procedures relative to a local church requesting transfer from a jurisdiction? A It does not specifically address the process of transferring. That's covered by the Book of Certified Amendments. Q Okay. And are you familiar with that procedure? A I am. Q Okay. Please tell us what that is. A Tell me what you want to know. Q I want to know A Excuse me. Do you have a specific question that you want to know? Q Well, I want to know I'll ask it as a question, as you apparently prefer. What are the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	department within Church of God in Christ in Memphis that would have the information relative to churches that have may have transferred out of the jurisdiction of Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A It is part of the job description for the general secretary to maintain all records. Whether or not he would have a record of that, you would have to ask him, but that's his job. And that would be the source that I would refer you to. Q Okay. Have you ever been the bishop of any other jurisdiction other than Northwest Florida Jurisdiction? A Never. Q All right. The procedures relative to transferring out of a jurisdiction that a local church must adhere to, where are those to be found? A They are found in the official manual of the Church of God in Christ. Q Okay. Now, I have with me a little black book that says "Church of God in Christ Official Manual." Of course, I'm not going to put this in the record, it's too voluminous, but is this the document	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	local church transferring jurisdictions? A I'm sure it does. Q Okay. To your knowledge, does it? A I'm sure it does, to my knowledge. Q Okay. All right. To your knowledge, does the official manual also contain the directions or policies or procedures relative to a local church requesting transfer from a jurisdiction? A It does not specifically address the process of transferring. That's covered by the Book of Certified Amendments. Q Okay. And are you familiar with that procedure? A I am. Q Okay. Please tell us what that is. A Tell me what you want to know. Q I want to know A Excuse me. Do you have a specific question that you want to know? Q Well, I want to know I'll ask it as a question, as you apparently prefer. What are the specific steps that a local church must go through in

Page 62

they're required to send a letter, or, in this electronic age, they can actually go into the ARC system, and request a transfer from one jurisdiction to another, either via letter or via the ARC system. They have to specify the jurisdiction that they're—that they wish to transfer from, to the jurisdiction that they plan to transfer to.

They also have to give a date and time when they expect to have the required meeting of the local church to decide, via vote of a majority -- I think it's two thirds of the membership is required to be present and voting. And that form has to be submitted, either via letter or in the ARC system, to the general secretary's office, copied to the bishop whose jurisdiction they wish to transfer from, and to the jurisdiction -- Jurisdictional Bishop where they want to transfer to.

And then from there, the national church or the general secretary's office will review those forms, and make sure that -- and I can't tell you what they do. I can only tell you what I do, because I don't have any say in that issue, other than to be present when a vote takes place in regard to that matter. But it goes to the general secretary's office.

Page 63

Q And then what happens from there?

A It's either approved or disapproved in terms of the process. And whether or not the transfer takes place, that's also a matter that's beyond my control. So you would have to understand that when the vote takes place, that vote in terms — or the results of that vote are sent to the general secretary's office again.

But the entire process can only begin and be initiated by the pastor of that local church. The pastor of that local church is the only one who can submit the request for transfer. And that was a step that I left out. That's the beginning of it. The pastor of the local church has to submit the request for transfer.

- Q Okay. And is -- the pastor's submission of that request, is that something that happens before the notice that you referenced? You said give notice to the general secretary's office.
- A That has to be initiated by the pastor. Only the pastor of the local church can initiate the process by completing the forms or sending the letter or going into the ARC system to initiate the process.
- ${\tt Q}\,$ $\,$ Is that the same step that you referenced earlier about giving the notice to the general

Page 64

secretary's office or --

A That's correct. Can only be done by the pastor of that local church.

- Q All right. Now, I believe you said step two was the general secretary's office would receive the results of the local meeting where it's put to a vote; is that correct?
- A I don't think I said that it's step two. That may be the way that you wrote it, but it starts with the initial request, either via letter or through the ARC system, by the local pastor, submitted to the general secretary's office, copied to the jurisdiction -- Jurisdictional Bishop where the church wants to transfer to, and to the Jurisdictional Bishop from which the church wants to transfer from. That goes to the general secretary. It is also sent -- again, copied to each bishop that may be involved.

Q Okay.

A Step --

Q I'm sorry.

A The next step involves the actual voting. There must be a date identified in the form or in the letter or through the ARC system, of the proposed date that the vote will take place. And each of the two bishops is given an invitation to attend that vote.

Page 65

The vote results -- that is, assuming that there is a vote, the voting results are submitted to the general secretary's office. Okay. And from there -- or whatever happens to that is the general secretary's responsibility, not the Jurisdictional Bishop.

- Q Okay. Is it mandatory for the bishop of the present jurisdiction, as well as the bishop of the potentially receiving jurisdiction, to be provided an invitation to attend the meeting and vote?
- A All of that's a part of the form or the letter, and it is required.

Q Okay. All right.

 ${\tt A} {\tt When}$ you use the word "mandatory," it's required.

Q Okay. The approval or disapproval of such a request, who does that?

- A You would have to ask the general secretary's office. I have no control, neither I nor the receiving bishop determine whether or not the -- first of all, the procedure is accurate, or the vote was accurate, or if there's going to be a transfer. But, again, only the local pastor can initiate that request. And so that's not my call.
- ${\tt Q}\,$ $\,$ I understand it's not your call. But my question is, who either approves or disapproves the

25

transfer not being approved. I am.

Page 66 Page 68 Okay. The attempt that you are aware of 1 request? 1 2 2 Α That's -- I've answered that question. that was not approved, was that in your jurisdiction? 3 3 Don't know. It was. 4 Q Your testimony is you don't know who 4 Okay. And was that the defendant's church, 0 5 approves or disapproves these requests? 5 as we sit here today? 6 I don't know who approves or disapproves 6 Α Well, it's not -- it's the church he's --7 7 in terms of being his church, it's the church that the process for transferring. I can tell you what the 8 process is, and the requirements of the process. In 8 he's operating in. But I am the pastor of that 9 9 terms of what happens when it gets to the general church, and I did not initiate the transfer request. 10 secretary's office, that's not something I can tell 10 And therefore, the transfer request could not be you about, because I don't know. 11 approved. And I believe he received, or at least I 11 12 12 received something to that effect, making him aware How is the approval or disapproval of such 13 a request communicated to the requesting church? 13 and making the church aware that unless he is the pastor of the church, he could not initiate a transfer 14 That comes from the general secretary's 14 15 office. 15 request. I have not initiated a transfer request, and 16 0 Is there some sort of --16 I'm the pastor of record of the Westside Church of God 17 The requesting church will receive a letter 17 in Christ on 51 Marshall Lane. And Westside Ministry, 18 stating to that person this is what happened, and 18 Inc. Church of God in Christ at 51 Marshall Lane, and the other one, 2313 North G Street. So I would have 19 et cetera, et cetera, so will each bishop involved. 19 And we'll get a letter from the general secretary's 20 20 to initiate any request for transfer. 21 That's not the only transfer that I know 21 office acknowledging the approval of the transfer. 22 Okay. Or presumably the disapproval? 22 was not approved, and I know there has been at least Q 23 23 Don't have to do a disapproval, because if one other that I was familiar with that was not 24 it's not approved, it's automatically disapproved. 24 approved. Okay. Was that also under your 25 0 Is that part of the official manual? Page 69 Page 67 1 That's not in the official manual. 1 jurisdiction? Is it -- is it in the Book of Certified 2 2 Q Α It was also in this jurisdiction, yes. 3 Amendments? 3 Okay. Got it. Okay. The information Α The Book of Certified Amendments identifies 4 relative to, you know, records kept of requests to 4 the process and the procedure. It doesn't tell me 5 transfer jurisdictions, would that -- I withdraw that. 5 6 what's going to happen in terms of what the general 6 That was asked and answered. So I withdraw that. 7 secretary's office does. At least I haven't read 7 All right. Is it your testimony that --8 8 that. that -- well, let me back up. 9 Okay. But you stated that if it's not 9 Is there anything else that a church needs 10 approved, there doesn't need to be a disapproval. Is 10 to do in order to request a transfer, other than what 11 that stated anywhere in the Book of Certified 11 we already talked about? 12 Amendments? 12 Α Not to my knowledge. 13 Α 13 0 It is not. Okay. 14 14 Q Okay. Let me take that back because -- and that's 15 Α Not that I've read. 15 a part of the process. It's in the process, the 16 church must also identify its membership and attach a Okay. Roughly how many years have you been 16 17 a bishop with COGIC? 17 membership roster to that request. And sometimes that 18 Since 2010. I was consecrated November 18 roster may be left out, but that's also a part of that 19 2010. And this is 2023, so I'm looking at, in 19 process. 20 November, 13 years. 20 21 Okay. In those 13 years, are you aware of 21 And they must certify in writing -- once 22 any local church's request for transfer being denied 22 that vote takes place, as I told you, the results have 23 or disapproved? 23 to be sent to the general secretary's office. They 24 I'm aware of a local church's attempt to 24 have to certify the attendance and the process, and 25

the vote outcome. All of that's a part of that

Page 70 Page 72 jurisdiction where I serve. I can qualify through the 1 process. 1 2 2 Q What's the -- how is that certification election process and the certification that an handled? In other words, what makes that a 3 3 individual, whether he's a pastor or a district 4 certification? Is there like a notary or something 4 missionary, have met the criteria, and I can certify 5 that was present that --5 it through a form that I submit for each General 6 No, I don't -- when I say certify, I don't 6 Assembly, that this individual has qualified to attend 7 7 mean legal certification, I mean they have to verify and participate as a delegate. And I want to be very 8 in writing to the general secretary's office the 8 clear, there's a big difference between qualifying as 9 9 details about what, when, where, and who and why, and a delegate and being a member of the General Assembly. 10 Thank you. Tell me what that difference 10 what happened, the results, in writing. 11 Understood. I'm going to ask you some 11 is. 12 questions now regarding the church governance. When I 12 The difference is -- and I think I said it 13 use the term "COGIC," can we agree that I'm 13 to you earlier. A person who is a member of the 14 referencing the Church of God in Christ, with its 14 General Assembly is one such as the chairman, the 15 headquarters in Memphis, Tennessee? 15 secretary, the vice chairman, the various officers 16 16 that preside over standing committees. The others of 17 Okay. You're familiar with the different 17 us qualify as delegates, and I explained to you that I 18 either individuals or groups that govern the actual 18 can qualify as a delegate as a Jurisdictional Bishop. church of COGIC, right? 19 19 And then I am responsible for making sure that anyone 20 20 else who attends the jurisdiction -- the General Α Generally, yes. 21 Okay. Is the General Assembly, is that 21 Assembly, out of my jurisdiction, meets a certain 22 just a term referencing a meeting, or is the General 22 criteria to be there as a delegate. Only delegates 23 23 Assembly an actual body of individuals that make up are allowed to vote. Any member of a jurisdiction or 24 the General Assembly? 24 a local church can attend the General Assembly and be 25 The General Assembly is the body. In fact, present, but when it comes down to voting, only Page 71 Page 73 1 it is a body of COGIC, you can say, the Church of God 1 delegates are allowed to vote on certain issues of the 2 2 in Christ, and it's identified in our constitution as General Assembly. So there's a difference. 3 3 the law making and law interpreting body of the Church Are delegates allowed to vote on all issues of God in Christ. And it consists of both officers 4 that are before the General Assembly? 4 5 5 who are members of that General Assembly, and No, every issue does not require a vote by 6 delegates who qualify periodically. Because the 6 the delegates. 7 General Assembly only meets officially two times a 7 Okay. So are there other issues that 8 year in its role. However, there are officers and 8 require a vote of the officers, but not the delegates? 9 9 individuals who qualify as members of that General Α I'm not sure if I understand your question. 10 Assembly, such as the chairman of the General 10 Yeah. The way I understood your last 11 Assembly, the vice chairman, the secretary. Those are 11 answer was that not every issue requires a vote of the 12 12 delegates. And that's accurate, correct? members voted and elected to positions. Other 13 individuals may qualify as delegates to a specific 13 Α That is correct. 14 Okay. Are there other issues that don't 14 assembly, either in November or in April. 15 Okay. Are delegates the individuals that 15 require a vote of the delegates but do require a vote 16 16 of the officers? are asked to attend the meetings? The officers of the General Assembly would 17 Α Nobody's asked to attend the meetings. 17 18 0 Okay. Then what is a delegate to the 18 not be -- I quess it depends on what you're 19 General Assembly? 19 referencing. If it's an issue that is a nonvoting 20 A delegate to the General Assembly can be 20 issue, the officer is going to, of course, move those 21 qualified to attend the General Assembly if he meets 21 items forward without a vote from the General 22 22 certain conditions. Assembly. When you have a vote on an issue from the 23 23 For example, I'm a Jurisdictional Bishop. General -- that involves the General Assembly, each 24 As a Jurisdictional Bishop, I am qualified to attend 24 delegate is given an opportunity to vote yes or no, or 25 the session of the General Assembly, to represent the they can do it by voice vote. We've been introduced

Page 74 Page 76 Okay. All right. Did you attend the 1 to electronic voting most recently because of the Q 1 2 2 entire meeting? pandemic, where a lot of our delegates could not get 3 3 there in person, and so we've had to use a variety of Α I attended the entire meeting. I didn't go 4 instruments to register our vote either yes or no. 4 to every session of the General Assembly. The General 5 But for issues that require a vote, every 5 Assembly, much like this deposition, last all day. 6 delegate -- and by the way, an officer has one vote 6 All day, half the night. We take breaks for lunch, just like every other delegate. So it does not matter dinner, and various other resources, and I'm sure I 7 7 8 that he's an officer. If it's an issue that's 8 missed -- I know I missed, I don't have to tell you 9 9 requiring approval of the General Assembly, it must be any doubt about that, because I didn't sit through 10 voted on by the qualified delegates. And everybody in 10 every session of the General Assembly. I was 11 attendance has one vote. 11 allowed -- you're allowed to leave and return. 12 Okay. Is there such a thing as a 12 Were there any votes taken of the delegates 13 nonqualified delegate? 13 in this last General Assembly, that, to your 14 knowledge, you missed? 14 No, not to my knowledge. 15 Q Okay. 15 Α I'm sure there had to be, because I didn't 16 Α Again, you're allowed to attend the General 16 attend every session --17 Assembly and not be a delegate, but usually you're 17 Q Uh-huh. 18 placed up in the balcony somewhere. You can't vote on 18 -- every hour of every session. 19 any issue. There's a very clear distinction when we 19 0 20 allow -- and when I say "we," I'm talking about COGIC, 20 So I'm sure I missed something. Α 21 21 when we allow nonqualifying delegates to attend. So Okay. And I'm certainly not going to ask 22 that when there are issues that are being voted on, we 22 you every topic that was discussed or voted on in the 23 23 can clearly identify who can and who cannot vote. April 2023 General Assembly. So my question is, are 24 For example, we had to use electronic 24 there minutes available for the April 2023 General 25 devices to vote in our last assembly. Only delegates, Assembly? Page 75 Page 77 1 qualified delegates were issued electronic devices to 1 I'm sure there is. 2 2 vote with. Q And whom -- whom or what department would 3 Q Understood. When was the last assembly? 3 have possession of those? The General Assembly meets in November and 4 Α That's a general secretary's job. 4 Α 5 5 April of each year, the last assembly was April --Got it. Same as before? 0 6 Q 6 Α Same as before. Okay. 7 Α -- of 2023. 7 Okay. And if we were to seek any kind of 8 Q Did you attend that assembly? 8 records from COGIC, would the general secretary's 9 9 Α Absolutely. office always be the one we go to? 10 Q And were you -- did you attend as a 10 That's where I would go. I can't tell you 11 delegate? 11 where you should go, but I can tell you that that's 12 12 Α Absolutely. where I would go because the general secretary is the 13 13 custodian of the records for the Church of God in Q Okay. And did you vote on anything while 14 14 Christ. you were there? 15 Α Absolutely. 15 0 All records, as far as you know? 16 Okay. Were -- was the existence of this 16 All national records. All records Q Α 17 lawsuit discussed at all at the General Assembly? 17 involving the national church. 18 I can't answer that question about whether 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: A video request to go 19 it was discussed at all. It was not discussed at any 19 off the record just to change media? 20 time when I was in attendance during the General 20 MR. JOHNSON: Sure. 21 Assembly. 21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Are we good? 22 22 Were there any votes taken, to your MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. 23 knowledge, that would relate in any way to this -- the THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. The time is 23 24 issues in this lawsuit? 24 10:37, and we're now off the record. 25 25 Α Not while I was there. (Recess from 10:37 a.m. until 10:50 a.m.)

Page 78 Page 80 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:50, and 1 would be Bishop Lyles. 2 2 we're now back on the record. Q Okay. Do you know who the parliamentarian 3 3 MR. JOHNSON: Can you please just read back was? 4 my last question so I can remember where we --4 Α No. 5 (Thereupon, the aforementioned question was 5 0 Okay. Who's responsible for creating the 6 read by the reporter as recorded above.) 6 minutes of the General Assembly meetings? 7 7 MR. JOHNSON: Understood. Thank you. Α I don't know who's responsible for creating 8 BY MR. JOHNSON: 8 the minutes. I think the general secretary is 9 9 The General Assembly, is it -- are there responsible for recording the minutes. And how that's individuals that are referred to as members of the 10 10 done, I can't tell you. 11 General Assembly? 11 Do the delegates have any involvement in Q 12 12 Normally they are referred to as officers. that whatsoever? 13 I just -- I think I answered that question that I 13 Α In creating the minutes? No. consider the chairman, the vice chairman, the 14 14 Okay. Or do the delegates have any 15 secretary, the parliamentarian, their committee 15 involvement in recording the minutes? 16 chairpersons who are in charge of standing committees, 16 No, they have -- they approve the minutes, 17 those are individuals that I would call members. 17 once those minutes are brought and presented to the 18 Understood. All right. So we use the 18 General Assembly. The General Assembly approved those 19 terms officers or members interchangeably? 19 minutes, and those minutes become the official minutes 20 I would not, because an officer is 20 of the meeting. And that usually happens at the 21 21 different from the person who may be a chairman of a subsequent meeting of the General Assembly. 22 standing committee or who may be a member of a 22 In this particular case, if we're talking 23 standing committee. It doesn't have to be an officer 23 about the April 2022 General Assembly, the subsequent 24 in a standing committee, may be a member in a standing 24 meeting was in November of 2022; is that correct? 25 committee. 25 That is correct. Page 79 Page 81 1 Thank you. 1 0 And did you also attend that? 2 2 Back in April of 2022, there was a General Α I did. 3 Assembly meeting that was held, correct? 3 And again, did you attend all of it? Α That's correct. 4 I did not attend every session. Again, 4 5 5 Okay. And did you attend that meeting? it's -- it's hard to attend every session of the Q 6 Α I attended most sessions of that meeting. 6 General Assembly. 7 I did not attend every session of that meeting. 7 0 8 All right. Back then, who was the -- who 8 Α All day long, start in the early morning, 9 were the officers of the General Assembly in April of 9 and may take a break for lunch, come back in the early 10 10 afternoon, stay all evening, adjourn sometime that 11 I can't name all of the officers of the 11 night. And delegates are allowed to go and come. 12 General Assembly. 12 But, of course, if you've got a device, you've got to 13 Who was the chairman of the General 13 submit that device when you check out, pick it up when Q 14 Assembly? 14 you come back in. So they have a tally of who's 15 Α Bishop Lemuel Thuston. 15 present. 0 Who was the vice chairman? 16 16 Do you recall at the November '22 General 17 I can't name the vice chairman at this 17 Assembly, if there was a vote to confirm or approve of 18 time. I'm sure if I -- because we elected a new vice 18 the minutes of the April '22 General Assembly? 19 chairman, and I don't think that vice chairman was in 19 Α 20 place. It was the previous vice chairman, whose 20 All right. Did you take part in that vote? Q 21 mind -- whose name slips my remembrance at this time. 21 Α 22 Who is the secretary of the General 22 Q Q Okay. Did you read the minutes before you 23 Assembly? 23 voted? 24 The secretary of the General Assembly is 24 Α No. 25 25 Q always the secretary of the General Church, which Why would you vote to approve or disapprove

Page 82 Page 84 1 of the minutes without reading them? 1 objections, but I said I'm sure there were objections. 2 2 Normally the way the process works is that But I'm also sure there were none that peeked my 3 3 the minutes are sent out in advance of the meeting. interest. 4 And when the issue comes up on the agenda, it's 4 Q I'm going to present to you what I'm going 5 brought up for approval, and those who may have 5 to label as Exhibit A, just ask you to take a look at 6 6 objections to something that's in there or not in it. Whoop, I gave you mine, too. Can I have mine 7 there, or the way something is written, those 7 back? 8 individuals would go up and state their objections. 8 Did you give me both back? 9 9 And the presumption is that those who are concerned I'm sorry. about the minutes read those minutes. If there are no 10 10 (The document was marked for identification 11 objections, then -- or if you have objections, you 11 as Defendants' Exhibit A.) 12 12 BY MR. JOHNSON: listen to objections. 13 And if you -- and this is the way I 13 Just take a look at it, and I've just got a 0 14 14 question for you about it. operate. I was there at the meeting, for the most 15 part. I know what happened and transpired. I did not 15 Α Okay. 16 read those minutes in advance, and I listened to see 16 0 All right. Are you familiar -- you can 17 what those objections were. And if I agreed with any 17 hang on to it. Are you familiar with that document? 18 of those objections, then my vote would have been 18 I am familiar with this document. All right. What is it? 19 probably different, as opposed to if I agreed (sic) 19 20 with those objections. 20 It is a document giving notice that the 21 So no, I didn't read the minutes in the Church of God in Christ General Assembly minutes from 21 22 advance, but I did vote when the time came to vote. 22 April 5 through 6, 2022, are being filed with the 23 And did you vote for approval? 23 Q Court in this case. 24 Α I did. 24 All right. And you or your attorney on 25 0 At the -- at the November 23rd -- I'm your behalf filed a copy of the minutes in this case; Page 83 Page 85 1 sorry, not November 23rd. At the November '23 General 1 is that correct? 2 2 Assembly, were there any objections to the minutes as Α The attorney filed a copy of the minutes in 3 3 presented of the April '22 -- no, I'm sorry. I'm this case. 4 going to back up. I misspoke. 4 All right. Did you read the minutes before Q 5 At the November '22 General Assembly, prior 5 they were filed? 6 to the vote and approval or confirmation of those 6 Α T did. 7 minutes, were there any objections? 7 Okay. Was there anything notable about 0 8 Α I can't say specifically that there were, 8 them to you when you read them? 9 but based on what I have experienced in the past, I'm 9 Α Not at all. 10 sure there were some objections. I can't tell you 10 Okay. Are you aware that the minutes that 11 what those objections were, because there are always 11 were filed with the Court contained no reference to objections --12 12 this matter, yourself, or Pastor Watts? 13 13 Α I am. Q Okay. 14 $\mbox{--}$ to almost everything that comes up on Q Α 14 Okay. Can you explain to us why that would 15 the floor. 15 be true? 16 16 A minute ago, I thought you testified that Α I cannot. 17 if there were any objections before you voted, that 17 Were you surprised when you found out that 18 would you listen to those --18 the minutes didn't have any reference to yourself or 19 Α I would have listened, yes. 19 Pastor Watts or this case? 20 Okay. All right. In this particular case, 20 I was not surprised. 21 are you -- ask you telling me that in November of '23 21 Why were you not surprised? 22 (sic) -- I'm not arguing with you, I'm just trying to 22 During the sessions that I attended, and 23 23 clarify -- that you don't recall if there were any all previous General Assembly sessions, no matter what 24 objections to the April '22 minutes before you voted? 24 transpires in that meeting, the delegates are asked to 25 I don't recall that there were any specific vote and give their approval on most items. And I was

Page 86 Page 88 1 made, as far as I was told. I was not involved in the there, and I never remember a vote to approve whatever 1 2 2 formation of that committee. But as I understand it, happened on that day with Pastor Watts and myself. 3 3 Okay. that was a new committee. 4 Α So I was not surprised not to see it in the 4 Q Was that the committee that was led by 5 minutes. 5 Dr. Jenkins? 6 6 Okay. So is it your testimony that the Α As far as I know, he was the chairman of 7 only matters that are recorded in the minutes of a 7 that committee. 8 General Assembly meeting are those matters in which 8 Do you know the names of any other 9 there's a vote taken? 9 individuals that were on the committee? 10 10 That's not my testimony. I cannot recall any of the names. 11 Okay. Other than the assumption that there 11 Was Dr. Jenkins at the General Assembly Q Q 12 was no vote taken regarding this matter or any matters 12 meeting in April of '22, to your knowledge? 13 involving yourself or Pastor Watts, are you aware of 13 Yes, he was. Α 14 any other reason that the subject matter of this case, 14 Did you speak to him at that time? Q 15 yourself, or Pastor Watts was not included in the 15 Α I did not speak to him during the General minutes? 16 16 Assembly, no. 17 I can only tell you what happened or has 17 Does every -- and I'm sorry, did you say Q 18 happened in the past as I've attended General 18 that the committee, Dr. Jenkins' committee produced a 19 Assemblies, that whatever happened may have been a 19 report? 20 20 part of something that was not -- I guess what I would Α As I remember, Dr. Jenkins and his 21 call, that was not expected. It was something that 21 committee were called up to make a report, and their 22 was not maybe agenda, because I looked at the agenda 22 report was extensive. They had quite a few items in 23 as well, and I had no knowledge that I would be 23 their report that had nothing to do with me. So they 24 involved in any way whatsoever, in terms of what 24 made a report on several items that day. 25 happened with me and Pastor Watts. I did know that 25 Was that -- was that report read out loud? Page 87 Page 89 1 there were some things being considered by the 1 As I remember it, they read their report --2 2 committee that made a presentation. And normally portions of the report. I think I remember hearing 3 3 committee reports are approved or disapproved by a him saying something like he was not going to read the 4 vote of the General Assembly. So I was not surprised 4 entire report, whether it was a specific report that 5 5 when I didn't see any references there because I you're talking about. But I think they gave a general 6 wasn't even expected to be there. I was surprised 6 report to the delegates about what the committee had 7 when I was called, when $\ensuremath{\mathrm{my}}$ name was called during the 7 done. Or what the committee was working on and what 8 General Assembly itself. 8 the committee was recommending. 9 9 0 Who didn't expect you to be there? You were present at the meeting when 10 I was not ex -- I didn't expect to be 10 Dr. Jenkins read the report, or at least portions of 11 called up to the stage for any reason. I had no 11 it? 12 12 notice. So that's what I'm referring to. Some things Α 13 happened contemporaneously, I guess, and they may not 13 Okay. And I think you stated earlier, to Q always get included. I don't know why. Nothing was 14 14 your knowledge, there was no vote taken on the report, 15 mentioned in the minutes. But I do know the time I 15 right? 16 16 was there, the committee's report was never approved To my knowledge. I was there the day and 17 by the General Assembly -- by a vote of the General 17 night, and if there was a vote taken, I missed it. 18 Assembly. 18 Because I didn't vote on it. Has -- has anybody ever told you that there 19 Okay. What was the name of the committee 19 Q 20 in this particular instance? 20 was a vote taken on the report? 21 The committee -- the exact name I cannot 21 Not that I recall. And I've asked 22 call -- recall, but it was something about the 22 specifically about whether or not the report --23 mediation and it was some type of committee. And it 23 because you included it as part of your record, 24 was, by the way, a newly formed committee that 24 claiming that it was something that had been approved 25 25 happened as a result of the request that was being by the General Assembly, and I know in order for

of it?

Page 90 Page 92 1 something to come out of the General Assembly as 1 No, that's not the complete report, because 2 2 having been approved, there has to be a vote on that. it does not include every report or everything that 3 3 And I don't recall there ever being a vote on it. Dr. Jenkins' committee gave out during that General 4 Okay. All right. Does the General 4 Assembly. 5 Assembly vote on all committee reports? 5 Q Do you --6 The General Assembly may not vote on all 6 Α There were other items in there. 7 7 committee reports, because sometimes when a committee Do you or your attorney have copies of the 8 makes a report, it may table that report. The 8 other portions of that committee's report? 9 9 chairman may say he's only receiving the report as --I don't know if Mr. Gant has a copy of it, 10 for whatever purpose, and there's not always a vote on 10 but I don't have copies of the other -- the complete every report. But once the report becomes an official report. I don't have copies of the complete report. 11 11 12 12 report of the General Assembly, it means that the If Mr. Gant doesn't have those copies, to 13 General Assembly voted and approved that report. 13 your knowledge, would copies of those portions also be 14 To your knowledge, was Dr. Jenkins' report in the possession of the general secretary? 14 15 an official report? 15 He's the custodian of the records. 16 Α To my knowledge, his report was not an 16 Okay. Okay. At any time -- oh, I'm sorry. 17 17 You read the minutes that were filed before they were official report because it was not approved, to my 18 knowledge, by the General Assembly. I saw his report 18 filed, correct? 19 and still see his report today, and I haven't seen 19 Α The minutes that were filed in this case, 20 anything to the contrary as a recommendation coming 20 yes. 21 21 out of his committee, his newly formed committee, to Q Yes. Okay. Got it. All right. All 22 deal with the specific request -- or several specific 22 right. 23 requests. Because the Watts' request was not the only 23 Α And by the way, you-all are -- I'm not 24 request that his committee considered. 24 saying you specifically, have accused me of tampering What are you referring to as the Watts' 25 25 with the minutes in this case. I don't have the Page 93 Page 91 1 report? 1 ability to do that. The minutes that have been filed 2 2 The portion of his report that you put in in this case are the minutes that came out from the 3 3 general secretary's office, and they're available to the files in this case, saying that there was a mediation between Watts and Green in reference to this 4 any qualified delegate. You can go online right 4 5 lawsuit. That's what I'm referencing when I talk 5 now -- in fact, if I had a computer, I can go online right now and pull up the minutes of most of our 6 about the Watts' report. 6 7 7 meetings in the General Assembly of the Church of God Q Okay. The same report as Dr. Jenkins' 8 report? 8 in Christ dating back several years. 9 9 Dr. Jenkins' report, again, was a report To your knowledge, who does have input into 10 from a committee that was a part of several items in 10 what is included in the minutes? 11 his report. So we have to talk about reports of his 11 I don't know, other than to tell you that 12 committee, because there was more than one item in his 12 the general secretary is the custodian of the records, 13 committee's report. 13 and that's his responsibility. 14 14 Q Okay. Was there more than one report or Okay. 15 more than one item in the single report? 15 Α The minutes of meetings would be his 16 16 The committee gave several reports. Now, responsibility. 17 whether you want to call them separate reports, but 17 Okay. Are you aware if any individuals 18 they were called up to give their report. And during 18 other than the general secretary have any input on 19 that process, they read out several reports. And I'll 19 what's included in the minutes? 20 call them reports. So, yes, there was more than one 20 Not that I'm aware. 21 report made by his committee. 21 Okay. All right. The -- does the General 22 22 Assembly make rulings? Okay. So, to your knowledge, the report 23 23 that has been filed in the case, that you mentioned, Α Explain what you mean by rulings. 24 is that the complete report, or there's other portions 24 Q Decisions. 25 25

The General Assembly is the highest law

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 94

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 making body, policy making body, and there are times 2 when it does make judicial decisions relative to 3 matters that may involve the departments of the 4 church. For example, if there's a dispute with the --5 within the board of bishops or within the judiciary 6 board, that issue would come before the General Assembly. The General Assembly's ruling is the only 7 8 ruling in the Church of God in Christ that is final. 9 If they make a decision and issue a ruling, and it's 10 approved by the delegates, then that decision is 11 final.

And would that decision, once it's final, be binding on all of COGIC?

Every member in the Church of God in Christ -- of course, when you say binding, I'm a member of the Church of God in Christ. Their decision would be binding on me as a member of the church. But if I choose not to abide by that, I don't have to be a member of the Church of God in Christ. Because they can't tell me what to do as an individual.

When the General Assembly makes a decision -- I mean, is that -- I want to make sure we don't get bogged down in semantics. I said "ruling" before, but what -- is it okay if I use the word "decision"?

Page 95

Decision is a better word, depending on what I just said. Because I'm talking about if a case comes before the General Assembly, and the General Assembly litigates that case, whether it's between -and that's usually something, as I said, that might be a case involving a dispute between departments or between the judiciary board or the board of bishops -the judiciary board normally handles most judicial appeals that come up from the lower departments. And once they make their decision, it's final, unless it involves one of those issues that only the General Assembly can resolve.

Okay. Once the General Assembly makes a Q decision, how is that memorialized?

By -- if it's -- it's memorialized in the report and in the minutes of that -- of the General Assembly, and they would issue a ruling. If it's a case involving, let's say Green v. Watts, and we -- of course, that would not go to the General Assembly normally because that's not a dispute between departments within the church. Anybody can appeal their case to the judiciary board, but anybody can't appeal it to the General Assembly.

But once they issue a ruling, it would come out pretty much like you style your case. It would be

styled as Green v. Watts. They would list the issues, and then they would give the final decision from the General Assembly.

And first of all, that would go through the legal process. There's a committee within the General Assembly that would handle most legal disputes when they come up between parties like that. The General Assembly would then do the final vote on whether or not to approve or disapprove that -- that decision.

Primarily, the General Assembly is the policy-making body, but there are some issues that can be appealed to the General Assembly if you're not satisfied with the rulings in the lower courts. We call our tribunals lower courts.

For example, in the jurisdiction, anybody who's unhappy with the decision that I make in the jurisdiction, they can appeal to the general council of pastors and elders. If I'm not -- if they're not happy with the decision at the general council of pastors and elders, then they can appeal to the judiciary board.

Normally, that's the end of the appeal process, because the judiciary board, in the Church of God in Christ, is like the Supreme Court of America. However, there are some issues that can be appealed

Page 97

Page 96

1 from the judiciary board through the General Assembly. 2 That's what I'm trying to explain to you. But 3 generally those issues, when they are appealed to the 4 General Assembly, there is a ruling issue announcing 5 that decision. 6 And is my understanding correct that once 7 the General Assembly makes a decision, that can be

appealed then to the judiciary board?

Α No, that's not what I said.

I'm sorry, what did you say? Q

When you're talking about a decision --Α

Q Correct.

Α -- can you clarify what you mean by a decision? Because I think we're talking about apples and oranges here.

Q Okay.

Α If the General Assembly votes on an item that comes up during the General Assembly, and that item is approved, then that item is approved.

Is there any mechanism to appeal that?

Α From the General Assembly?

Q

There is no mechanism to appeal the rule. If the General Assembly says this is the rule that we're going to follow, that becomes the rule.

25

Page 98 Page 100 wanting to set forth being sufficient to allow 1 Q Okay. 1 2 2 Α You can come back to another General the defendants to win their case. 3 3 Now, to introduce this document now is Assembly and contest or say there was a mistake made, 4 we can do such and such. That's -- but when we're 4 contrary to the judge's ruling. More 5 talking about the function of the General Assembly, 5 specifically, the judge said that this 6 6 the General Assembly makes policies. When it comes document -- these issues are not for the Court to 7 decide in Escambia County Circuit Court. The 7 down to interpreting and adjudicating those policies, 8 that comes through the judiciary board starting at the 8 issues for -- to be decided with the Church of 9 9 lower tribunals. The jurisdiction, the general God in Christ, these issues, inner workings of 10 the Church of God in Christ. And this court, 10 council of the department of women or the board of 11 bishops, up through the judiciary board. 11 Escambia County Circuit Court, is not going to 12 Okay. And -- but the General Assembly 12 get involved in the inner workings of the Church 13 would be at the top, right? They're the final say? 13 of God in Christ, internal church matters. 14 The General Assembly, and in some cases the 14 That's my objection. 15 general -- the judiciary board is the final say. And 15 MR. JOHNSON: Are you instructing him not 16 there are some issues that are appealable to the 16 to answer? 17 17 MR. GANT: I wanted to put it on the General Assembly. 18 But a General Assembly decision is not 18 record. What's your question, Mr. Johnson? Q 19 appealable? 19 MR. JOHNSON: Well, I've got a lot of 20 20 Α The General Assembly decision is final. questions. 21 21 Got it. Okay. MR. GANT: Go one by one. 22 (The document was marked for identification 22 MR. JOHNSON: Sounds good. Okay. All 23 as Defendants' Exhibit B.) 23 right. 24 BY MR. JOHNSON: 24 THE WITNESS: He didn't allow me to answer I'm going to hand you what I've labeled as 25 Q the first question. He asked me if I was Page 99 Page 101 1 Exhibit B. Can you take a minute and review that, and 1 familiar with the document. Should I answer that tell us if you've seen that before. 2 2 question? 3 3 Α I have seen it before. MR. GANT: What do you mean -- familiar, 4 Okay. And what is it? 4 what does that mean? Familiar, what does that 5 5 mean? MR. GANT: I'm going to object to the MR. JOHNSON: Has he seen the document 6 document. It appears that my counsel -- or 6 7 7 before. counsel is attempting to ask questions of my 8 client in the nature of a corporate witness, to 8 MR. GANT: Okay, that's different. 9 9 talk about and explain issues of the national Yes, I have seen this document. And as 10 church. He's a fact witness, in my opinion, not 10 I've said on previous occasion, this document does not 11 a corporate witness. 11 represent any final order from the General Assembly of 12 In the commission and any determinations of 12 the Church of God in Christ, the General Assembly made 13 13 in the month of April, and this alleged document, to issues regarding the church's final say-so, the 14 church's positions on the such matters regarding 14 my knowledge, the Presiding Bishop never signed any 15 this case, his -- the decisions made regarding 15 such document like this in April of '22, to my 16 knowledge. 16 having an impact on this case would be a 17 corporate witness, and not a fact witness. 17 BY MR. JOHNSON: 18 Additionally, these matters have been 18 Are you aware that this document was sent 19 already presented to the judge in this case at 19 to me and your counsel by Mr. Saffold, the general 20 that hearing we had back in February, and she 20 counsel for COGIC? 21 ruled against the defendants in that matter, but 21 I'm aware of what I just said to you, that 22 22 specifically as relates to issues involved in the Presiding Bishop could not have signed any 23 this document called Joint Order of the Presiding 23 document such as this in April of 2022. 24 Bishop of the General Assembly, specifically was 24 Okay. My question is, are you aware that

we received this document from John Saffold, general

used in that hearing. And it was found to be

Page 102 Page 104 1 counsel for COGIC? church governance? 1 2 2 I don't know where you got it from, but I'm Α In church governance, as you can read in 3 3 just telling you what I'm aware of. the official manual as well, the Presiding Bishop is 4 MR. GANT: Object. Go ahead, that's fine. 4 the president of the corporation. 5 I'm telling you what I'm aware of, because 5 As the president of the corporation, what 6 6 I know that any -- during the General Assembly, this role does the Presiding Bishop play in church 7 document was never presented during the General 7 governance? 8 Assembly. I can tell you that because I was there. 8 He's considered to be the chief executive 9 9 That's what I'm telling you, this document was never officer of the corporation, and he represents the presented during the General Assembly, and could not 10 Church of God in Christ in all matters, as the head of 10 11 have been approved by the General Assembly of the 11 the Church of God in Christ, the executive head of the 12 Church of God in Christ. 12 Church of God in Christ. 13 BY MR. JOHNSON: 13 And that same vein, would you be considered 14 14 the chief executive officer of Northwest Florida Do you recognize the signature at the 15 bottom of page 3? 15 Jurisdiction? 16 16 MR. GANT: I object to the questioning. Α I am, by corporation filing and by 17 Again, Mr. Johnson is attempting to relitigate 17 designation of the Church of God in Christ. 18 issues that have come before the Court. The 18 Okay. And in that same vein, would a pastor of a COGIC local church be considered the chief 19 judge firmly indicated in an order that these 19 matters are not within the Court's confines to 20 executive officer of that local church? 20 adjudicate in her -- specifically as a judge in 21 Spelled out in the constitution. He is the 21 22 this circuit. Therefore, you're coming with 22 executive head of that local church, subject to the 23 irrelevant documents. That's irrelevant now. 23 authority of the Church of God in Christ and the 24 It's been inherited and decided upon by the 24 Jurisdictional Bishop in that jurisdiction. judge. She said no, you were not sufficiently 25 Okay. Well, the same would be true of your Page 103 Page 105 1 prepared to win your motion on those issues 1 role as executive officer of Northwest Florida 2 2 Jurisdiction, it would be subject to the Church of God because they're outside the Court's purview and 3 in Christ, correct? 3 jurisdiction. Therefore, to try to use my client to bring it back up, except to have it three or 4 Α That is correct. 4 5 5 four months earlier, I think is improper. Okay. All right. Does the Presiding 6 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. 6 Bishop, in your opinion, have the power or authority 7 7 to take property from a local church? MR. GANT: Any more questions along this 8 8 line about the church's inner workings have been MR. GANT: Object to the form --9 9 already decided by the judge in this case. I didn't understand the question to begin 10 Mr. Green, don't answer those questions, 10 with. If you --11 please. 11 BY MR. JOHNSON: 12 12 THE WITNESS: Will not. Does the Presiding Bishop have the power or 13 MR. JOHNSON: Let's make the record clear. 13 authority, provided in the official manual or in what MR. GANT: Make the record clear. 14 14 you referred to as the Book of Certified Amendments, 15 MR. JOHNSON: Are you instructing him not 15 to take property from a local church? 16 16 to answer any questions about this document? MR. GANT: I object to that question. 17 MR. GANT: Yes, I am, for reasons stated 17 Again, it's talking of the church's inner 18 earlier. 18 workings, religious tenements of the church, 19 MR. JOHNSON: Fair enough. I'm going to 19 which this judge has said she did not get 20 attach that as an exhibit. 20 involved in those kind of issues. Any evidence 21 THE STENOGRAPHER: You just did. 21 elicited to -- to -- elicited to try to gain 22 22 MR. JOHNSON: Oh. Just leave it right evidence on church -- inner workings of the 23 23 church, things that the judge has already said here. 24 BY MR. JOHNSON: 24 she does not get involved in -- she ruled against 25 What role does the Presiding Bishop play in Q you, Mr. Johnson, your motion to dismiss. You

	Page 106		Page 108
1	lost that motion on the same issue of this	1	of real estate in Escambia County? Is that your
2	document you just as an exhibit. It's been	2	commentary?
3	tried by a judge. You were not successful in	3	MR. GANT: And those my commentary is
4	your efforts to get that done. We're not going	4	those properties are are held in accordance
5	to retry the same case before my client now, in	5	with Church of God in Christ bylaws and
6	this deposition. We're not going to do it. Take	6	constitution, and which you have a copy of, like
7	it to the judge, that's fine with me. Certify it	7	it plainly spells out what those bylaws are, what
8	all you want.	8	those rules are.
9	Mr. Green, no more questions along these	9	MR. JOHNSON: Uh-huh.
10	lines, answer no more along these lines.	10	MR. GANT: Okay?
11	MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Are you instructing	11	MR. JOHNSON: Uh-huh.
12	him just to save some time, are you	12	MR. GANT: It's clear as glass, if you
13	instructing him not to answer any questions about	13	will. And whether or not an actual president can
14	church governance?	14	take property away is an inflammatory question.
15	MR. GANT: Any questions regarding the	15	Inflammatory.
16	facts of our case, such as has a property been	16	MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Did you not just state
17	taken, issues of in that manner, yes, I am.	17	that the ownership and control of the property is
18	MR. JOHNSON: Okay.	18	relative to the bylaws or direction that's in the
19	MR. GANT: Any questions regarding who's	19	constitution? Did you not just make that
20	taking whose property, any questions regarding	20	statement?
21	issues along those lines specified by our	21	MR. GANT: Yes, that's correct.
22	complaint in general, and brought our	22	MR. JOHNSON: Okay. So explain on the
23	complaint in general, our complaint in general,	23	record, because I'm sure we're going to have a
24	and brought within that, it's totally relevant.	24	hearing on this
25	MR. JOHNSON: Okay.	25	MR. GANT: Sure.
	Tan Colaborn Chapt		Tan data. Salo.
	- 405		
1	Page 107 MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my	1	Page 109 MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions
1 2	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my	1 2	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions
	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a		
2	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you	2	MR. JOHNSON: $-\cdot$ is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC,
2 3 4	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today.	2 3	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case.
2 3 4 5	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine	2 3 4	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that
2 3 4 5 6	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the	2 3 4 5 6	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same basis. And you know that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property away from a church.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same basis. And you know that. MR. JOHNSON: (Crosstalk)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property away from a church. MR. JOHNSON: Those are my questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same basis. And you know that. MR. JOHNSON: (Crosstalk) MR. GANT: Yes, you do, you know that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property away from a church. MR. JOHNSON: Those are my questions. MR. GANT: Tell me in those bylaws of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same basis. And you know that. MR. JOHNSON: (Crosstalk) MR. GANT: Yes, you do, you know that, Mr. Johnson. You know that. So tell me why it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property away from a church. MR. JOHNSON: Those are my questions. MR. GANT: Tell me in those bylaws of the general the black book, the certified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same basis. And you know that. MR. JOHNSON: (Crosstalk) MR. GANT: Yes, you do, you know that, Mr. Johnson. You know that. So tell me why it's relevant. Let's back up the complaint here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property away from a church. MR. JOHNSON: Those are my questions. MR. GANT: Tell me in those bylaws of the general the black book, the certified amendments, where it says the Presiding Bishop
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same basis. And you know that. MR. JOHNSON: (Crosstalk) MR. GANT: Yes, you do, you know that, Mr. Johnson. You know that. So tell me why it's relevant. Let's back up the complaint here. MR. JOHNSON: I'm there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property away from a church. MR. JOHNSON: Those are my questions. MR. GANT: Tell me in those bylaws of the general the black book, the certified amendments, where it says the Presiding Bishop can do that. Show me where it says in that book
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same basis. And you know that. MR. JOHNSON: (Crosstalk) MR. GANT: Yes, you do, you know that, Mr. Johnson. You know that. So tell me why it's relevant. Let's back up the complaint here. MR. JOHNSON: I'm there. MR. GANT: Look at the complaint that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property away from a church. MR. JOHNSON: Those are my questions. MR. GANT: Tell me in those bylaws of the general the black book, the certified amendments, where it says the Presiding Bishop can do that. Show me where it says in that book that they can you know he can't do you know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same basis. And you know that. MR. JOHNSON: (Crosstalk) MR. GANT: Yes, you do, you know that, Mr. Johnson. You know that. So tell me why it's relevant. Let's back up the complaint here. MR. JOHNSON: I'm there. MR. GANT: Look at the complaint that we wrote.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property away from a church. MR. JOHNSON: Those are my questions. MR. GANT: Tell me in those bylaws of the general the black book, the certified amendments, where it says the Presiding Bishop can do that. Show me where it says in that book that they can you know he can't do you know he can't do that. You know he can't. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same basis. And you know that. MR. JOHNSON: (Crosstalk) MR. GANT: Yes, you do, you know that, Mr. Johnson. You know that. So tell me why it's relevant. Let's back up the complaint here. MR. JOHNSON: I'm there. MR. GANT: Look at the complaint that we wrote. MR. JOHNSON: I have a copy of it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property away from a church. MR. JOHNSON: Those are my questions. MR. GANT: Tell me in those bylaws of the general the black book, the certified amendments, where it says the Presiding Bishop can do that. Show me where it says in that book that they can you know he can't do you know he can't do that. You know he can't. It's inflammatory, Mr. Johnson, plain and simple.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same basis. And you know that. MR. JOHNSON: (Crosstalk) MR. GANT: Yes, you do, you know that, Mr. Johnson. You know that. So tell me why it's relevant. Let's back up the complaint here. MR. JOHNSON: I'm there. MR. GANT: Look at the complaint that we wrote. MR. JOHNSON: I have a copy of it. MR. GANT: Okay. Well, read it. Read it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property away from a church. MR. JOHNSON: Those are my questions. MR. GANT: Tell me in those bylaws of the general the black book, the certified amendments, where it says the Presiding Bishop can do that. Show me where it says in that book that they can you know he can't do you know he can't do that. You know he can't. It's inflammatory, Mr. Johnson, plain and simple. MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same basis. And you know that. MR. JOHNSON: (Crosstalk) MR. GANT: Yes, you do, you know that, Mr. Johnson. You know that. So tell me why it's relevant. Let's back up the complaint here. MR. JOHNSON: I'm there. MR. GANT: Look at the complaint that we wrote. MR. JOHNSON: I have a copy of it. MR. GANT: Okay. Well, read it. Read it. MR. JOHNSON: I'm not going to read it into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property away from a church. MR. JOHNSON: Those are my questions. MR. GANT: Tell me in those bylaws of the general the black book, the certified amendments, where it says the Presiding Bishop can do that. Show me where it says in that book that they can you know he can't do you know he can't do that. You know he can't. It's inflammatory, Mr. Johnson, plain and simple. MR. JOHNSON: Okay. BY MR. JOHNSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same basis. And you know that. MR. JOHNSON: (Crosstalk) MR. GANT: Yes, you do, you know that, Mr. Johnson. You know that. So tell me why it's relevant. Let's back up the complaint here. MR. JOHNSON: I'm there. MR. GANT: Look at the complaint that we wrote. MR. JOHNSON: I have a copy of it. MR. GANT: Okay. Well, read it. Read it. MR. JOHNSON: I'm not going to read it into the record. You're welcome to read it, Mr. Gant,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property away from a church. MR. JOHNSON: Those are my questions. MR. GANT: Tell me in those bylaws of the general the black book, the certified amendments, where it says the Presiding Bishop can do that. Show me where it says in that book that they can you know he can't do you know he can't do that. You know he can't. It's inflammatory, Mr. Johnson, plain and simple. MR. JOHNSON: Okay. BY MR. JOHNSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same basis. And you know that. MR. JOHNSON: (Crosstalk) MR. GANT: Yes, you do, you know that, Mr. Johnson. You know that. So tell me why it's relevant. Let's back up the complaint here. MR. JOHNSON: I'm there. MR. GANT: Look at the complaint that we wrote. MR. JOHNSON: I have a copy of it. MR. GANT: Okay. Well, read it. Read it. MR. JOHNSON: I'm not going to read it into the record. You're welcome to read it, Mr. Gant, if you'd like to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property away from a church. MR. JOHNSON: Those are my questions. MR. GANT: Tell me in those bylaws of the general the black book, the certified amendments, where it says the Presiding Bishop can do that. Show me where it says in that book that they can you know he can't do you know he can't do that. You know he can't. It's inflammatory, Mr. Johnson, plain and simple. MR. JOHNSON: Okay. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q But I'll ask this question. Then who has the authority to take property from a local church?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same basis. And you know that. MR. JOHNSON: (Crosstalk) MR. GANT: Yes, you do, you know that, Mr. Johnson. You know that. So tell me why it's relevant. Let's back up the complaint here. MR. JOHNSON: I'm there. MR. GANT: Look at the complaint that we wrote. MR. JOHNSON: I have a copy of it. MR. GANT: Okay. Well, read it. Read it. MR. JOHNSON: I'm not going to read it into the record. You're welcome to read it, Mr. Gant, if you'd like to. MR. GANT: I'm not going to you know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property away from a church. MR. JOHNSON: Those are my questions. MR. GANT: Tell me in those bylaws of the general the black book, the certified amendments, where it says the Presiding Bishop can do that. Show me where it says in that book that they can you know he can't do you know he can't do that. You know he can't. It's inflammatory, Mr. Johnson, plain and simple. MR. JOHNSON: Okay. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q But I'll ask this question. Then who has the authority to take property from a local church? A I'm not sure if I understand your question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same basis. And you know that. MR. JOHNSON: (Crosstalk) MR. GANT: Yes, you do, you know that, Mr. Johnson. You know that. So tell me why it's relevant. Let's back up the complaint here. MR. JOHNSON: I'm there. MR. GANT: Look at the complaint that we wrote. MR. JOHNSON: I have a copy of it. MR. GANT: Okay. Well, read it. Read it. MR. JOHNSON: I'm not going to read it into the record. You're welcome to read it, Mr. Gant, if you'd like to. MR. GANT: I'm not going to you know what it says.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property away from a church. MR. JOHNSON: Those are my questions. MR. GANT: Tell me in those bylaws of the general the black book, the certified amendments, where it says the Presiding Bishop can do that. Show me where it says in that book that they can you know he can't do you know he can't do that. You know he can't. It's inflammatory, Mr. Johnson, plain and simple. MR. JOHNSON: Q But I'll ask this question. Then who has the authority to take property from a local church? A I'm not sure if I understand your question. Q What part of my question do you not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same basis. And you know that. MR. JOHNSON: (Crosstalk) MR. GANT: Yes, you do, you know that, Mr. Johnson. You know that. So tell me why it's relevant. Let's back up the complaint here. MR. JOHNSON: I'm there. MR. GANT: Look at the complaint that we wrote. MR. JOHNSON: I have a copy of it. MR. GANT: Okay. Well, read it. Read it. MR. JOHNSON: I'm not going to read it into the record. You're welcome to read it, Mr. Gant, if you'd like to. MR. GANT: I'm not going to you know what it says. MR. JOHNSON: Is it your is it your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property away from a church. MR. JOHNSON: Those are my questions. MR. GANT: Tell me in those bylaws of the general the black book, the certified amendments, where it says the Presiding Bishop can do that. Show me where it says in that book that they can you know he can't do you know he can't do that. You know he can't. It's inflammatory, Mr. Johnson, plain and simple. MR. JOHNSON: Q But I'll ask this question. Then who has the authority to take property from a local church? A I'm not sure if I understand your question. Q What part of my question do you not understand?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GANT: Again, it's designed to put my clients, including COGIC, under the gun in a national social media array of display, if you will. I'm not playing that game with you today. Take it to the judge if you want to, that's fine with me. You lost your motion to dismiss on the same basis several months ago. On the same basis. And you know that. MR. JOHNSON: (Crosstalk) MR. GANT: Yes, you do, you know that, Mr. Johnson. You know that. So tell me why it's relevant. Let's back up the complaint here. MR. JOHNSON: I'm there. MR. GANT: Look at the complaint that we wrote. MR. JOHNSON: I have a copy of it. MR. GANT: Okay. Well, read it. Read it. MR. JOHNSON: I'm not going to read it into the record. You're welcome to read it, Mr. Gant, if you'd like to. MR. GANT: I'm not going to you know what it says.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. JOHNSON: is how are our questions relative to the bylaws and constitution of COGIC, and the individuals who make those decisions, not relevant to the issues in this case. MR. GANT: Tell me tell me where in that book it says that the general bishop that the Presiding Bishop has authority to take property away from a church. MR. JOHNSON: Those are my questions. MR. GANT: Tell me in those bylaws of the general the black book, the certified amendments, where it says the Presiding Bishop can do that. Show me where it says in that book that they can you know he can't do you know he can't do that. You know he can't. It's inflammatory, Mr. Johnson, plain and simple. MR. JOHNSON: Q But I'll ask this question. Then who has the authority to take property from a local church? A I'm not sure if I understand your question. Q What part of my question do you not

Page 110 Page 112 1 Q Talking about real estate. 1 person would be the person who speaks for COGIC. 2 2 The real estate of the local church is held THE STENOGRAPHER: Can you please slow --3 3 in trust for the membership of the Church of God in I'm sorry. 4 Christ, in that local church and in Memphis, 4 MR. GANT: I'm sorry. 5 Tennessee. So you can't take something from the local 5 THE STENOGRAPHER: Can you please repeat 6 6 church because the local church property is held in what you said? 7 7 trust, and you know that. Mr. Watts does not own MR. GANT: That person who Church of God in 8 2313 G Street, 51 Marshall Lane, 1100 Yonge Street. 8 Christ appoints to be their representative --9 9 He doesn't own it. The board of trust --MR. JOHNSON: Okay. MR. GANT: $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ would be the person that Does Mr. Green own it? 10 10 Will you allow me to answer? 11 speaks for COGIC. 11 Α 12 12 MR. JOHNSON: All right. So the individual Q Sure. 13 13 who filed the lawsuit and signed the complaint is Α If you're going to answer, don't ask me the 14 question. Go ahead. 14 not an individual that's an agent of COGIC; is 15 0 Go ahead, answer. 15 that your position? 16 All right. I'll continue. Does Mr. Green 16 MR. GANT: We're not talking about that. 17 own 51 Marshall Lane? 17 MR. JOHNSON: I am talking about that. 18 Mr. Green doesn't want to own 51 Marshall 18 MR. GANT: We're not talking about --Lane because he's not -- it's not his property. The 19 19 MR. JOHNSON: You lodged an objection, and 20 20 property at 51 Marshall Lane is held in trust for the you're --21 21 Westside Church of God in Christ. It was a member MR. GANT: That's not an issue. 22 church in the Church of God in Christ, whose 22 MR. JOHNSON: You're telling me that the 23 23 headquarters are in Memphis, Tennessee. I don't own client can't answer the question, yet he signed 24 it and don't want to own it. I told you what 24 the complaint. MR. GANT: You deposed Bishop Green as a 25 properties I own. Page 111 Page 113 1 So if you don't own it, could you convey 1 fact witness, an individual witness, okay? 2 2 it? Could Mr. Green convey 51 Marshall Lane? MR. JOHNSON: Except when he signs a 3 3 I can if I'm a member of the board of complaint, then he's a corporate witness? trustees appointed either -- or -- either appointed or 4 MR. GANT: That's a fact. Okay? That's a 4 5 5 elected. Board of trustee members don't own it, they fact. 6 hold property in trust for the church, as stipulated 6 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Then how did he have 7 in the constitution of the Church of God in Christ. 7 the authority to file the complaint if he's not a 8 Q What does that mean, they hold the property 8 corporate witness? 9 in trust? 9 MR. GANT: Within the bylaws of the Church 10 I think you went to school --10 of God in Christ, he does. 11 MR. GANT: I object, legal -- that's a 11 MR. JOHNSON: Great. Let's ask about that. 12 12 legal answer. He's not an attorney. BY MR. JOHNSON: 13 I think you went to school --13 Show me -- or tell me where in the bylaws MR. JOHNSON: I'm not the one who used the or in the proof -- or the Book of Certified Amendments 14 14 15 15 term. If the -- hold on. If the witness uses does it provide you the authority to file a lawsuit on that term, I have every right to ask him what he behalf of Church of God in Christ. 16 16 17 means by that. Do you disagree? 17 It tells you in the official manual that 18 MR. GANT: Again, this is not a corporate 18 the Jurisdictional Bishop -- I am the Jurisdictional Bishop, and I -- and this is also in the filing, by 19 witness, this is a fact witness, okay? 19 20 MR. JOHNSON: Who would be a corporate 20 the way, that I think we made before you before -- is 21 witness, Mr. Gant? 21 the representative of the Church of God in Christ in 22 22 MR. GANT: If you depose someone -- depose all ecclesiastical matters that occur in his 23 23 someone within the Church of God as Christ as a jurisdiction. All matters. 24 deponent, then they -- they -- if they give you 24 Q Okay. 25 25 somebody to come as a representative, then that So I can read it for you if you give me the

Page 114 Page 116 1 book. 1 No, I want to read it. Because that's what 2 2 0 And is this an ecclesiastical matter? I said to you earlier --3 3 It is. Q Α You just paused. 4 Q Okay. 4 Α -- in respect to all church matters. You tried to make it ecclesiastical. But it says "all 5 Α Because it involves the church. 5 6 All right. So would you agree, then, that 6 church matters to -- in his ecclesiastical the Court would not have jurisdiction over an 7 7 jurisdiction, and shall have general supervision over 8 ecclesiastical matter? 8 all departments and churches in his jurisdiction." 9 9 Well, no -- if you give me the book, I'll The issue with you was about whether it was 10 an ecclesiastical matter. The jurisdiction is an 10 read it to you. Because the issue for me is not about who's in charge of the church, it's about who is 11 ecclesiastical jurisdiction. In fact, our official 11 12 12 name is the Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction of Florida responsible for making sure that the church property 13 is properly taken care of, and that's my job. 13 Northwest. 14 But you agree this is an ecclesiastical 14 0 I want you to keep that for a minute. 15 matter? 15 Is there any other provision or section in 16 Α If you show me the book, I'll read it to 16 that book that you contend provides a Jurisdictional 17 17 Bishop the authority to file a lawsuit on behalf of you --COGIC? 18 My question is --18 Q 19 Α Because the issues here --19 Α I filed the lawsuit based on what's in this 20 20 book, and I just read it to you. Q My question is --21 21 The issues here are not ecclesiastical in I'm going to ask the question again. Did 22 the sense that you're trying to use the word, but the 22 you not understand my question? 23 23 word that I'm looking for in the book itself actually I understand your question because of what 24 talks about --24 I've answered your question. 25 Q Okay. 25 I have a right to a direct answer. Page 115 Page 117 1 -- matters in his jurisdiction. And so 1 You can ask it. Ask it again. 2 2 that gives me the authority. As I've said from day All right. Is there any other provision in 3 3 one, I have the authority, as stated in the Church of that book, other than what you just read for us, that God in Christ official manual --4 you contend provides the authority of a Jurisdictional 4 5 5 Q Bishop to file a lawsuit on behalf of COGIC? Okay. 6 Α -- to handle this issue in my jurisdiction. 6 Α Asked and answered. 7 Q All right. Are you done? 7 You didn't ask and answer. And this is my 8 8 Α I am. deposition and I'm entitled to an answer. 9 9 Okay. Let the record reflect I'm handing MR. GANT: I object --10 you a copy of the official manual. To my knowledge, 10 You can ask it a hundred times. 11 it's complete. 11 MR. GANT: I object, argumentative. 12 12 Let's see -- in fact, you've got it --MR. JOHNSON: Are you instructing him not 13 you've got it already underlined and -- in your book, 13 to answer? MR. GANT: Asked and answered. and in color, and I'll read it for you. 14 14 15 Q Can you give us the --15 MR. JOHNSON: It's not asked -- he didn't 16 16 Α It's on page 18. tell me whether there was any other section in 17 Q Okay. Can you give us the article, and the 17 that book. That was my question, Fred. Are we 18 paragraph number or letter? 18 really going to do this all day? That was my question. I'm 100 percent positive that 19 I sure can. It's Article 4, which deals 19 20 specifically with jurisdictional bishops. It's under 20 Miss Dana here can read it back to you. 21 section A, number 2, a Jurisdictional Bishop -- it's 21 MR. GANT: That's fine. Ask your question. 22 actually 3. "Each Jurisdictional Bishop shall be the 22 I'm sorry. I apologize. That question is okay. 23 23 representative of the Church of God in Christ in MR. JOHNSON: It's the question I just 24 respect to all church matters." 24 asked. 25 25 MR. GANT: Well, that's fine. Are you done?

Page 118 Page 120 MR. JOHNSON: So what are we doing here? Church of God in Christ. But I can't speak to his 1 1 2 2 MR. GANT: That's fine. Come on, now. level of knowledge. 3 3 MR. JOHNSON: This is like a circus. Q Is it your testimony that you have not seen 4 MR. GANT: You're the circus. 4 that correspondence from General Counsel Saffold? 5 5 BY MR. JOHNSON: That was not my answer. My answer --6 6 0 Can you please answer that question? MR. GANT: I object to that line of 7 7 Α I don't want -questioning. Again, the issue was tried before 8 Is there any -- is there any other 8 Judge Brodersen earlier this year. Issue whether 9 9 provision in that book? Mr. Green had the authority to represent COGIC 10 was addressed by Judge -- it was raised by the 10 I don't want to be a part of a circus. I 11 defendants, heard by the judge. It did not carry 11 don't want to be a part of any media event that you've 12 12 got going on. I've answered your question. I read to the day. She did not approve that allegation 13 you what my authority is, granted to me by the 13 that you lodged against Mr. Green. constitution of the Church of God in Christ as a 14 14 So now to try it again in this venue, in 15 Jurisdictional Bishop. I've stated it in the 15 this deposition, when you know it's not an issue 16 complaint. I responded to it. And when you've 16 before the Court -- it's not before the Court. 17 written other things about who had authority to do 17 The purpose is to -- to -- to think about 18 what, I've answered your question. This is my 18 division among the COGIC organization, these 19 authority as a Jurisdictional Bishop. 19 issues that you know that aren't relevant before 20 20 Q Okay. Are you aware of any other the Court now. And as you mentioned earlier, 21 21 authority? just start a circus on its own social media. 22 Α I've already answered your question. 22 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 23 23 No, you haven't, sir. You said that was MR. GANT: The bad part is you want 24 your authority. My question, are you aware of any 24 Bishop Green to be a part of the circus. That's 25 other authority that gives you the power to file a 25 the bad part. I cannot let him do that, okay? Page 119 Page 121 1 lawsuit on behalf of COGIC, Inc.? 1 So you take it to the judge. 2 This is my authority when I said -- that is 2 Mr. Green, along those lines, what 3 3 my authority. You're a smart man. I've referenced --Mr. Saffold said or didn't say regarding your that pronoun references exactly what I read. That is 4 authority to represent the church, don't answer 4 5 5 any questions along those lines. Okay? my authority. 6 0 So are you refusing to answer the question? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 7 7 MR. JOHNSON: All right. Α Asked and answered. 8 Bishop Green, are you aware -- well, you 8 BY MR. JOHNSON: 9 know who General Counsel John Saffold is, correct? 9 Okay. I want to go back and talk about --10 10 I want to back up for a second. 11 Okay. Are you aware that he has written a 11 Mr. Green, do you agree with me that some 12 12 letter to both Mr. Gant and I that specifically states of the issues in this case involve a piece of real 13 that you did not have the authority to file that 13 property in Escambia County, Florida, referenced as 14 lawsuit? 14 51 Marshall Lane? 15 I was told that. And he did not send me a 15 Α Do I agree with you that some of the 16 16 copy of that letter, because if he had sent me a copy issues? Yes. 17 of the letter, I would have told him the same thing 17 0 Okay. Do you agree with me that some of 18 I've told him subsequent to being told that. 18 the issues in this case involve real property in 19 Okay. In your opinion, is General Counsel 19 Escambia County, Florida, referenced as 1100 West 20 Saffold familiar with the rules and regulations 20 Yonge Street? governing COGIC? 21 21 Α 22 22 I can't answer his familiarity with any Do you agree with me that some of the 23 23 rules in COGIC. I know his position as general issues in this case involve a piece of real 24 counsel in the Church of God in Christ should speak to 24 property in Escambia County, Florida, referenced 25 as 2313 G Street? his knowledge of the rules and regulations of the

Α

Yes.

own 51 Marshall Lane?

All right. Okay. Is it accurate to say

that you already testified that you personally do not

1

2

3

4

5 That's correct. 6 All right. And is it accurate to say that 7 you already testified that you personally could not 8 sell or convey 51 Marshall Lane? 9 I've said that I don't personally own 51 Marshall Lane. I don't personally own 2313 G 10 11 Street. I don't personally own 11 West Yonge Street. 12 I'm not personally trying to steal anybody's property, 13 as you-all have alleged. I'm not personally try to 14 sell anybody's property. So we can agree on those 15 points. 16 I have a job as a Jurisdictional Bishop to 17 safeguard properties, those three pieces of property 18 that you've just referenced, I have a responsibility 19 as the leader of the Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction of 20 Florida Northwest, and I will continue to carry out my 21 responsibilities in that regard. 22 Do you believe you have the authority to 23 lease 51 Marshall Lane? 24 Do I have -- do I believe that I have the 25 authority to lease 51 Marshall Lane? Page 123 1 Q Uh-huh. 2 Α This piece of property, and the other two pieces of property --3 4 I'm just asking you about one, 51 Marshall Q 5 Lane. 6 Α Would you allow me to answer the question? 7 I will ask -- I'll allow you to answer the 8 question about 51 Marshall Lane. That's the question 9 pending. Do you have the authority to lease 10 51 Marshall Lane? 11 In my capacity as Jurisdictional Bishop, 12 under my authority and under my control, if I wanted 13 to lease it as the pastor, with the approval of the board that may be available -- because the board that 14 15 you keep talking about doesn't have that authority 16 anymore because I'm the pastor of that church. 17 And the way we handle the process in the 18 Church of God in Christ is that we go through 19 procedures in order to do that. I personally --20 because you're talking about personally. I don't 21 personally take responsibility for leasing 51 Marshall 22 Lane or any other piece of property. 23 Have you ever personally given any money to 24 Pastor Watts or Westside Ministry or any other local 25 church, relative to the financing of the property at

51 Marshall Lane?

Page 122

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A I am certain that I've given personal monies, offerings, to Pastor Watts. In fact, under my leadership, the jurisdiction often gave back monies to local churches, from the jurisdictional level, that came out of money that came to the jurisdiction. And I've done that.

Q My question is, have you personally provided funds to the church at 51 Marshall Lane to be contributed toward the financing of the construction of that building?

A And that was not your question. You said or any other churches, so I answered that question.

Now, what he did with the monies that I gave to him, I never gave him a dollar and said this is for the purchase or this is for the building or the work that you've got going on. But I have given him offerings and I've given him monies from the jurisdictional treasury.

Q Was it out of your own pocket, or was it the Northwest Florida Jurisdiction?

A I have given offerings to him out of my own pocket. It's not my -- but that's in my role as a Jurisdictional Bishop. I've made visits to his church -- he can smile all he wants to. She can, too.

Page 125

Page 124

That's a fact. And --

Q Can you tell me when and how much?

A I've been here -- no, I can't tell you how much I've given, and I can't tell you a specific day. But as my manner was, whenever I visit a church, I always gave an offering. And I've visited his church and I've given offerings before.

Now, what they did with it was totally up to them. But I didn't give them a piece of money and said, this is for your building fund, or this is for the pews in that church, or this is for et cetera. But I have given monies to that church.

Q Have you ever given monies to the church for the maintenance of that property?

A I have no need to give them money for the maintenance of the property because that's their responsibility. That's their responsibility, not mine.

Q My question, though, is, have you ever given money for the maintenance of that property?

A I answered your question.

Q No, you didn't.

A I did.

Q Sir, you said you had no need to. Maybe nobody else in this room does things they have no need

Page 126 Page 128 1 to, but I will tell you that I do, often do things I 1 under court rules that allow me to ask questions 2 have no need to do. 2 to witnesses that are germane to the issues in 3 3 So my question to you is, regardless of the case. And because these three properties are 4 whether you feel you have a need to, have you ever 4 at the center of the issues raised in this case, 5 given money to that church for the maintenance of that 5 then my position is I have certainly the 6 6 property at 51 Marshall Lane? authority to ask questions relative to 7 7 contributions made toward the maintenance of any Asked and answered. 8 Sir, I --8 of these three properties, or the financing of 9 9 MR. JOHNSON: Can you please tell your them and that -- and so -- so that's my position. 10 MR. GANT: I think my client means that his 10 client to answer that question? MR. GANT: Can my client --11 first answer about giving money to Pastor Watts 11 12 12 from his pocket over time, and secondly, giving MR. JOHNSON: I mean, how can it be any 13 clearer? 13 money from the jurisdictional coffers over time, 14 would be for maintenance, building constructions, 14 MR. GANT: -- client tell you if he gave 15 money to Pastor Watts' church --15 lights and water, whatever that they want to use 16 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. 16 it for. He's given money to them for the 17 MR. GANT: -- as bishop of the 17 purposes they want to use it for. That's his 18 jurisdiction, from the coffers? 18 answer. Whether it'd be maintenance, building 19 MR. JOHNSON: I didn't ask that. 19 construction, or utilities, it's the same answer. MR. GANT: Or he made a contribution out of 20 20 MR. JOHNSON: So are you testifying or is his own pocket for that church? He said I 21 21 he testifying? 22 couldn't tell them how to use the money, but I 22 Α No, I told you that. I told you when you 23 23 gave them money for whatever purpose they wanted asked the question, I can't tell you what they used 24 to use it for --24 the money for, but I've given them money. 25 THE STENOGRAPHER: You -- I'm sorry, I'm Specifically did they use it for maintenance? That's Page 129 Page 127 1 having a difficult time. 1 their issue, not mine. I didn't give them a dollar 2 2 MR. GANT: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. and say, use this dollar to clean the church. I 3 3 THE STENOGRAPHER: Can you -didn't give them a dollar and say, use this dollar to MR. GANT: Whatever purpose they wanted to 4 pay the electric bill. But I've answered your 4 5 5 use the money for, it's up to them. But he gave question. When you asked me, I answered it. 6 them money out of his pocket and from the 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: Does Northwest Florida Jurisdiction own the 7 jurisdiction coffers in the past. 7 8 8 MR. JOHNSON: I'd like the witness to property at 51 Marshall Lane? 9 Northwest Florida Jurisdiction does not own 9 answer my question, which is has he ever provided 10 money for the maintenance of that property, him 10 the property at 51 Marshall Lane. 11 personally. 11 Could Northwest Florida Jurisdiction sell 12 12 THE WITNESS: Asked and answered. that property? 13 13 MR. JOHNSON: Do you have a basis for him Α Northwest Florida Jurisdiction cannot sell 14 the property at 51 Marshall Lane. 14 not answering? 15 MR. GANT: No, you -- it's your question, 15 Could Northwest Florida Jurisdiction lease 16 16 that property? you ask him. 17 MR. JOHNSON: I did. Counsel, I've asked 17 Α Northwest Florida Jurisdiction, depending 18 him three times. I'm asking you to control your 18 on what the circumstances are, may be able to lease 19 client. If you have an objection --19 that property. 20 MR. GANT: My client is --20 All right. You may have already given the 21 MR. JOHNSON: -- where I'm not entitled --21 answer to this question, I acknowledge that, but I 22 22 hold on. If you have an objection where I'm not want to clarify. Has Northwest Florida 23 23 entitled to the answer because it's confidential Jurisdiction -- not you. Has Northwest Florida 24 or something like that, then say that for the 24 Jurisdiction any -- given any money to Pastor Watts or 25 record. But I think we're all under -- operating the ministry to help finance that building?

Page 130 lawsuit? 1 I've answered your question, but I'll 1 2 2 answer it again, when I talked about what I've given, Α 3 3 both as an individual and as a bishop of the 4 jurisdiction. Northwest Florida Jurisdiction has 4 5 given funds to the -- Pastor Watts and the church. 5 6 Whatever they used it for was up to them. 6 7 7 All right. To your knowledge, does 8 Attorney John Saffold own the property at 51 Marshall 8 9 9 Lane? 10 10 You'd have to ask Attorney John Saffold complaint? what he owns. I don't know what he owns and what he 11 Α 11 12 doesn't own. 12 13 Well, you've filed a lawsuit relative to 13 14 the ownership and control of these three pieces of 14 15 property, have you not? 15 16 Α Yes, that's correct. 16 17 17 Q Okay. So you agree with me that the 18 ownership and control of this property is -- are 18 19 central issues in this case, correct? 19 20 20 Α One of the issues in the case, yes, that's your church? 21 obvious. 21 Α 22 So, to your knowledge, does Attorney John 22 0 23 23 Saffold own the property referenced as 51 Marshall 24 Lane? 24 25 Α I can't tell you what Attorney Saffold owns Page 131 1 or does not own. 1 Q 2 2 Okay. To your knowledge, has Attorney John Α 3 3 Saffold ever provided any funds to Pastor Watts or the 4 ministry relative to either the financing of the 4 trust. 5 5 property, for assistance in maintenance or repairs, 6 for taxes or for property insurance? 6 7 I can't tell you what Attorney Saffold has 7 to you? 8 8 given to anyone, Pastor Watts, you, me or anyone else, Α 9 9 for taxes, et cetera, et cetera. I can't tell you 10 that because I don't know. 10 11 My question was to your knowledge. 11 12 12 I just told you I don't know. 13 13 There you go. That's an answer. If you 14 don't know, it's an okay answer. 14 15 I've answered every one of your questions. 15 16 You may not have liked the answer, but I've answered 16 17 all of your questions, and I will continue to answer 17 18 them unless I'm advised not to by my attorney. 18 19 Does the General Assembly own the church on 19 Q 20 Marshall Lane? 20 21 Does the General Assembly own the church? 21 22 The General Assembly -- I can't tell you what the 22 23 23 General Assembly owns or does not own. 24 Okay. If the General Assembly owned the 24 25 25 church on Marshall Lane, would you have filed this

Page 132

I can't tell you what I would have done if the General Assembly had owned the church on Marshall Lane. It would depend on the circumstances, in terms of what action I would have taken. You're asking me about hypotheticals. It's hard to say what I would do in a hypothetical situation.

Okay. I can represent to you that I've read your complaint several times. Have you read your

I have.

Okay. Who are you alleging owns the property at 51 Marshall Lane?

The property is held in trust for the use and benefit of the members of the local church, with headquarters in Memphis, Tennessee. That's the way it's held and owned. And who owns it is a matter of how you interpret the constitution of our church.

How do you interpret the constitution of

As long as it's written the way it is, I believe that the property, in general is held in trust for the use and benefit of the members of the local church, and as long as they're in the Church of God in Christ. That's what I believe.

Page 133

Okay. All right.

No individual owns the church. I'm sure you know -- or you should know that. It's held in

What does that mean? You keep using that phrase, that it's held in trust. What does that mean

What it means to me is just what I said, no individual owns the property. In terms of the corporate status, the property is held in trust for the use and benefits, and I don't know any other way to explain it. You're an attorney. You should know -- in fact, you're a real estate attorney, you should be able to tell me what -- you've handled real estate -- in fact, you did most of these conveyances, where you conveyed this property over the years, these properties over the years to a variety of people, so you should be telling me what a trust is. I just explained to you my knowledge, in terms of what I think it means.

As we sit here today, you're under the impression that I conveyed this property?

Am I what now?

You're -- you just made a statement that I conveyed this property.

	<u> </u>	1	
	Page 134		Page 136
1	A No, I didn't say you conveyed the property.	1	A I haven't read it in the black book.
2	I may $\operatorname{}$ if I did say that, that was a misstatement.	2	Q So is it in there?
3	But I'm sure you wrote up most of the conveyance	3	A I have the fact that I haven't
4	instruments involving these three pieces of property.	4	Q You've read the black book
5	As my memory served me correctly, I think you were the	5	A read it
6	attorney of record on many of these conveyances	6	Q you say you've
7	involving 2313 G Street, 1100 Yonge Street, and	7	A I've read it
8	51 Marshall Lane. I may be wrong, but I think I read	8	Q you've got it on your phone.
9	such that you wrote up most of these instruments.	9	A Yeah, I've read it many, many times, just
10	Q Okay. So I'm going to ask I know you	10	like I've read the Bible many, many times. But if you
11	feel you answered this question, but I want a direct	11	ask me something specific about whether or not this is
12	answer to the question. Does the General Assembly of	12	in the black book, I can tell you I haven't read it in
13	COGIC own the church on Marshall Lane?	13	there.
14	A I can't tell you what the General Assembly	14	Q But you're familiar with it, right?
15	owns. I've answered the question.	15	A Being familiar, and having knowledge and
16	Q Could the General Assembly sell that	16	memory of every word and phrase, are two different
17	property?	17	things.
18	A Asked and answered.	18	Q Would you say the same thing about the
19	Q It hasn't been asked and answered. I	19	Bible?
20	haven't asked that question.	20	A I would absolutely say that about the
21	A I can't tell you what the General Assembly	21	Bible.
22	can sell and not sell.	22	Q But you've read the whole thing?
23	Q Are you familiar with the constitution of	23	A I've read it several times.
24	COGIC as represented by this little black book? I	24	Q Okay.
25	don't know where it went, but I've got it somewhere.	25	A But I can't tell you every word that's in
1 2 3	Page 135 A I have it on my phone, by the way. I don't have to pull it up on the pages, I have this on my phone, if I need to pull it up and find something.	1 2 3	Page 137 the Bible if you asked me a question. Or what did Jesus do at a certain, certain place. I've read it a 100 times, but I have to go back and look at it again
4	Q Okay. So my question is, are you familiar	4	to refresh my memory.
5	with that?	5	Q All right. Does COGIC base their policies
6	A I am.	6	and procedures on Biblical principles?
7	Q Okay. According to all of the items in the	7	A The Church of God in Christ is grounded in
8	black book, can the General Assembly sell the	8	Biblical principles. In fact, that's the foundation
9	property?	9	of our church, as I believe it.
10	A I can't tell you what the General Assembly	10	Q Okay. And Biblical principles are set
11	can and cannot do. I've answered your question.	11	forth in the Holy Scripture that we refer to as the
12	Q Is it accurate that what the General	12	Holy Bible, correct?
13	Assembly can and cannot do is laid out in that black	13	A That's what I believe.
14	book?	14	Q Okay. And are the jurisdictional bishop's
15	A Everything that the General Assembly can	15	actions and powers grounded in Biblical principles?
16	and cannot do is not laid out in this black book, nor	16	A That's what I believe.
17	any other document. Everything they can and cannot	17	Q All right. Can you describe for me the
18	do I mean, you say everything they can and can	18	Biblical principles that underlie this lawsuit?
19	they drink water? That's not spelled out in this	19	MR. GANT: I object. Relevancy.
20	book.	20	MR. JOHNSON: Well, the relevancy is he
21	Q Is it spelled out in that book that they	21	just told me that COGIC and the jurisdictional
22	could sell that property?	22	bishops are ground in Biblical principles.
23	A I haven't read it in there.	23	BY MR. JOHNSON:
24	Q Is it spelled out in that book that they	24	Q So my follow-up question is, what Biblical
25	could lease the property?	25	principles are pertinent to this lawsuit?
1		1	

Page 138 Page 140 1 I believe that all of us are accountable. explicitly in the constitution itself. There should 1 2 2 We're accountable in the corporate structure of the be -- because I studied this as a Jurisdictional 3 Church of God in Christ. I'm accountable to the 3 Bishop before they made me a Jurisdictional Bishop. 4 General Assembly, Presiding Bishop and General Board. 4 There should be a resolution to sell that property by 5 And we have supervisory authority. We have to know 5 the local church before any actions are taken to sell 6 what our duties and responsibilities are. A Christian 6 that property. Whether it's the church building or 7 principle is to be obedient, and to abide by the rules 7 whether it's the parsonage, no local church has the 8 that you are asked to abide by, whether it's in a 8 authority to sell its parsonage to officers in that 9 9 corporate structure, on the job, or wherever. church below the appraised value of that property, 10 10 I believe in Biblical principles and I without getting the proper approval. 11 believe the Church of God in Christ is based on 11 Okay. What about the -- I'm sorry. Who 12 12 doesn't have the authority to sell the property? Biblical principles, and part of that principle 13 requires accountability. I'm accountable to COGIC. 13 No local church, not even the board of 14 Watts and Westside are accountable to me, as a 14 trustees, to sell that property without getting the 15 Jurisdictional Bishop. We're all accountable to 15 approval of the Jurisdictional Bishop and without a 16 following the Bible and the constitution of our 16 resolution to sell. 17 church. That's what I believe. 17 Q Okay. 18 Okay. If a local church wished to convey 18 MR. JOHNSON: I'm sorry. I'm sorry to do 19 real property, what is the process or procedure that 19 this. Are you able to read -- read back his last 20 20 statement before the very last one? The they must go through? 21 21 When you talk about a local church, are you second-to-last statement. 22 talking about a local COGIC church? 22 (Thereupon, the aforementioned testimony 23 Q Of course. 23 was read by the reporter as recorded above.) 24 Α Well, if you're talking about a local COGIC 24 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you. 25 church, the first thing that local COGIC church needs BY MR. JOHNSON: Page 139 Page 141 1 to do is make the Jurisdictional Bishop aware of their 1 What is the proper approval? 2 2 intent to sell or convey that property to someone I think I just articulated it. If a local 3 3 church wants to sell or convey a piece of property to else. 4 Is it also --4 anyone, based on my authority as the person who's Q 5 5 Α (Crosstalk) -given supervision of that jurisdiction, the local 6 0 Go ahead. 6 church should make the Jurisdictional Bishop -- when I 7 7 say "me," I'm talking about the Jurisdictional Bishop. Α I'm sorry. You want my answer, or you 8 8 already got an answer? The Jurisdictional Bishop should be contacted, made 9 9 Well, my follow-up question is, is what you aware of that, and it should be given -- his approval 10 just testified to, is that present in the official 10 should be given, first of all, about the intent. manual? 11 11 Because the property is not owned by the trustee 12 12 board, it's held in trust. We keep coming back to There is somewhere in the official manual 13 or in the Book of Certified Amendments that says that 13 this same issue. When you hold property in trust, you the local property cannot be sold without the approval don't have the liberty to do what you want to do with 14 14 15 of the Jurisdictional Bishop. And if you give me a 15 it when it comes down to conveying it or selling it. 16 16 Q book and give me about an hour, I can find it for you. For example. Okay. 17 Q 17 Α You've got --18 Give me about an hour so I can find it 18 0 For example. specifically for you. But it's in there. And maybe 19 19 You've got to --Α 20 not in those explicit terms, but the property of the 20 Go ahead, finish. 21 local church, before it's sold or conveyed to anyone, 21 Α You've got to go through the proper 22 22 should be approved by the Jurisdictional Bishop. procedure to get that done. 23 23 And part of the proper procedure, wouldn't 24 And there also should be, as a part of the 24 it be, is that the trustee board for the local church 25 rules of our church -- and this is not written in -would have to vote on it and approve the sale,

Page 142 Page 144 1 that precluded the case from being resolved. correct? 1 2 2 Α Well, you've gotten ahead of the game, I mean, no foundation to your question. 3 3 because before the trustee board ever gets to vote on What is that question? What are you asking? 4 something of that nature, it should have come already 4 MR. JOHNSON: Is that an objection? 5 through the Jurisdictional Bishop, and the -- that 5 MR. GANT: That's an objection. 6 6 approval should be granted in advance of any vote by MR. JOHNSON: Are you telling him not to 7 7 the trustee board. answer? 8 So, for example, if a local church were 8 MR. GANT: Nebulous. 9 9 asked to sell a piece of their property to a MR. JOHNSON: Are you telling him not to Jurisdictional Bishop, how would that be handled? 10 10 answer? 11 If the local church was asked to -- I don't 11 MR. GANT: It's confusing, unclear. 12 12 know of any instance in that case, because you-all MR. JOHNSON: Okay. 13 have made a lot of lies out there, claiming that 13 MR. GANT: If he can. Bishop Green is trying to own some property. There is 14 14 I'm glad to hear him say that that's his 15 nowhere, that I read, that the local church had to 15 problem and their problem, because I don't know what 16 sell property to Jurisdictional Bishop Green. 16 he's talking about. I have not read anything, I have 17 17 not read any order from any General Assembly or Q Okay. 18 The local church has an obligation to make 18 Attorney Saffold or anybody that says that the 19 sure that they follow all of the rules before they 19 property on G Street has to be sold to Bishop Willie 20 20 convey the property to anyone. And if it came from C. Green. That's a lie. That's a lie that's been put 21 the national church, if the national church says, 21 out --22 we're giving you the authority to do such a thing, 22 BY MR. JOHNSON: 23 23 that's the end of the story. Then you follow through Q Is it possible --24 on what the national church is asking you to do, 24 Α -- period. 25 especially if you agreed to do that. -- that it's not a lie, but a Page 143 Page 145 1 But I'm not aware of anything from the 1 misunderstanding? 2 2 national church that has told any local church to sell No, it's a lie. Because if you're going to 3 3 property to Bishop Willie C. Green. That's a lie tell something like that, you've got to be able to 4 you-all have put out there, accusing Bishop Green of 4 show somebody something in writing. 5 5 trying to own personal property that he never -- I Q Okay. And I'm glad you --6 don't want that property for personal -- for personal 6 Α And what you've showed me -- if you'll let 7 7 me finish. use, for personal use --8 8 Q Are you --Q I sure will. 9 9 Α -- or personal ownership. If what you've showed me as a part of its 10 Are you aware that the only reason we're 10 committee's report -- as part of the committee's 11 sitting here is because the -- and I could be wrong, 11 report in mediation, when they were trying to resolve but I can tell you it's the understanding of myself 12 12 this issue between your client, they made a proposal 13 and my clients that the only reason this case hasn't 13 in the report that the property on G Street be 14 resolved is because that COGIC, by and through the reconveyed to the jurisdiction. There's a difference 14 15 general counsel's office and your attorney, have been 15 between the jurisdiction of Bishop Green. I'm the told that we had to sell that property to Bishop 16 16 Jurisdictional Bishop. I don't want to own -- I have 17 Willie Green. 17 enough property that I have to take care of myself. 18 MR. GANT: I object --18 You heard me talk about the pieces that I have. I'm 19 19 not interested in owning property on G Street. Don't Let me -- I want to answer that. 20 MR. JOHNSON: I want to know if he's aware 20 want it. Didn't ask for it. 21 of that. 21 So if you're putting that lie out, you need 22 22 MR. GANT: I object that Mr. Johnson asked to correct that tomorrow or show me something in a question that's not factual. He assumed what 23 23 writing --24 someone else knows to be the case, in kind of 24 Q Okay. 25 25 saying that the national church did something -- that says that. Because nothing I've Α

Page 146 Page 148 1 read, other than what your guy -- that Moses Tyson, 1 Q Okay. 2 2 Jr., and his little people out there, they post that MR. GANT: I object to Exhibit B being in 3 3 stuff out there. But that didn't come from COGIC. evidence in this proceeding. I object --4 How did they get that impression? 4 MR. JOHNSON: Okay, but --5 I don't know -- I can't tell where you got 5 MR. GANT: -- as far as any questions 6 6 the impression from. You didn't get it from me, and regarding Exhibit B to Mr. Green. I object to 7 7 any questions regarding Exhibit B being asked to you didn't get it from anything that I've said or 8 done. And if you pay attention to what's out there, I 8 my client. That has been resolved by Judge 9 9 don't respond to any of the foolishness. Because this Brodersen in open court. You made your 10 10 case, from my opinion, is going to be resolved in the allegations, your arguments, verbally and on 11 courts, and it's going to be resolved on the facts as 11 paper, both. I'm not going to be a party to 12 we have presented them. Nobody in here -- you can't 12 trying to undo what the judge has did on such a 13 tell me, and neither can your clients tell me that 13 central and clear issue, and jurisdiction. 14 14 they've seen anything in writing where they've been Secondly, this paper is part of a mediation 15 told that they've got to sell property to Bishop 15 process, which is confidential, okay? It's not 16 16 Willie C. Green. to be shared -- shared as a matter of course. 17 Well, I don't think that was my question. 17 To the extent my client has already told Q 18 If I'm wrong -- if I'm wrong --18 things about the document -- let me start over. Α 19 0 Okay. 19 He wasn't even a party to this document. 20 20 Α -- I will say I'm sorry. But I haven't --He was not a party to the document. So he cannot 21 speak about this document, secondly. 21 Q Okay. 22 Α -- seen anything like that. 22 So no, no questions about this document are 23 23 0 All right. to be answered by my client, Mr. Green. 24 Α Your question -- I answered your question. 24 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. May I move on? May I move on? 25 0 MR. GANT: No questions answered, okay? Page 147 Page 149 1 You said that's the only reason why we're 1 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. 2 2 here. So once we get that resolved, we can move on. BY MR. JOHNSON: 3 3 Do you want to resolve it off the record? I'm going to ask the question. All right. 4 Because the record is me asking you questions. But 4 Have you read paragraph 4 on page 3 of this document? 5 5 I'm representing to you that as far as we know, that's Α Refuse to answer. the whole reason we're here. 6 6 Okay. Does paragraph 4 on page 3 state --MR. GANT: I object. 7 Α 7 I'm telling you --8 Q You may think I'm lying, but I'm telling 8 BY MR. JOHNSON: 9 9 vou ---- and I quote --10 I do think you -- I'm not going to call you 10 MR. JOHNSON: You cannot prevent me from Α 11 a liar because --11 asking questions. If you think you can, Attorney Well, then you're being disingenuous. You 12 12 Grant -- Gant, I invite you to get the Court on 13 can't tell somebody you're a liar, and then say --13 the phone. She may be available. But you cannot I think you're --14 14 Α tell me I cannot ask questions. You can tell him 15 Q -- it's not personal. 15 not to answer, but I can ask questions. 16 MR. GANT: Take a second break -- can we 16 Α I think you're misrepresenting the truth 17 here. 17 take a second break, please, Mr. Johnson? 18 Okay. So I'm going to ask you to look at 18 THE WITNESS: May we continue? Because I 19 what has been previously marked as, I think, 19 do want to get this over. I don't need to take a 20 Exhibit B. Can you take a look at that? 20 break. 21 Α I know what it says. 21 MR. GANT: Are we going to go another hour, 22 22 Okay. What I'm going to direct you to 30 minutes? 23 is -- oh, and by the way, at the top of Exhibit B, it 23 THE WITNESS: Take all day. 24 is labeled Joint Order, correct? 24 MR. JOHNSON: All day, and maybe beyond 25 25 Yes, that's what it says. that.

	Page 150		Page 152
1	THE WITNESS: That's fine.	1	CERTIFICATE OF OATH
2	MR. JOHNSON: I've got I've got a whole	2	
3	bunch of questions.	3	
4	MR. GANT: Can we do it's about 12:16.	4	STATE OF FLORIDA)
5	MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, let's take a break	5	COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA)
6	then.	6	
7	MR. GANT: Take a lunch break.	7	I, the undersigned authority, certify that
8	MR. JOHNSON: Sure, we can take a lunch	8	WILLIE GREEN, personally appeared before me and was duly sworn on the 28th day of June, 2023.
9	break.	10	Signed this 24th day of July, 2023.
10	THE WITNESS: I want to say this. It's an	11	Signed this 24th day of outy, 2023.
11	imposition on my time	12	
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're still on we're	13	Cina Alliber
13	still on the record.	10	DANA L. JEFFRIES, RPR
14	THE STENOGRAPHER: Y'all are talking at the	14	Notary Public - State of Florida
15	same time. I'm not getting anything.		My Commission No. HH 385332
16	3 3 2 3	15	My Commission Expires: June 25, 2027
	THE WITNESS: I just want you to know. I	16	
17	know we're still on the record.	17	
18	As the witness being deposed, I hate the	18	
19	fact we're getting these breaks and we're getting	19	
20	in these extensive arguments. I want to get this	20	
21	done, and I'm here to answer questions, and at a	21	
22	certain time I'm going home. And I want you to	22	
23	be clear.	23	
24	MR. JOHNSON: Okay. All right.	24	
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: If it's good for	25	
1	Page 151 everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the	1	Page 153 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
1 2		1 2	
	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record.	2 3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2 3 4	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record.	2 3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)
2 3 4 5	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA)
2 3 4 5	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the video-recorded
2 3 4 5 6 7	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the video-recorded deposition of WILLIE GREEN, Volume 1, pages 1 through 151; that a review of the transcript was requested;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the video-recorded deposition of WILLIE GREEN, Volume 1, pages 1 through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the video-recorded deposition of WILLIE GREEN, Volume 1, pages 1 through 151; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the video-recorded deposition of WILLIE GREEN, Volume 1, pages 1 through 151; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the video-recorded deposition of WILLIE GREEN, Volume 1, pages 1 through 151; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the video-recorded deposition of WILLIE GREEN, Volume 1, pages 1 through 151; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the video-recorded deposition of WILLIE GREEN, Volume 1, pages 1 through 151; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the video-recorded deposition of WILLIE GREEN, Volume 1, pages 1 through 151; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the video-recorded deposition of WILLIE GREEN, Volume 1, pages 1 through 151; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED the 24th day of July, 2023.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the video-recorded deposition of WILLIE GREEN, Volume 1, pages 1 through 151; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the video-recorded deposition of WILLIE GREEN, Volume 1, pages 1 through 151; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED the 24th day of July, 2023.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the video-recorded deposition of WILLIE GREEN, Volume 1, pages 1 through 151; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED the 24th day of July, 2023.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the video-recorded deposition of WILLIE GREEN, Volume 1, pages 1 through 151; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED the 24th day of July, 2023.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the video-recorded deposition of WILLIE GREEN, Volume 1, pages 1 through 151; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED the 24th day of July, 2023.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the video-recorded deposition of WILLIE GREEN, Volume 1, pages 1 through 151; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED the 24th day of July, 2023.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	everyone, the time is 12:17. We're now off the record. (Recess from 12:17 p.m. until 1:22 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA) I, DANA L. JEFFRIES, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the video-recorded deposition of WILLIE GREEN, Volume 1, pages 1 through 151; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. DATED the 24th day of July, 2023.

1	Page 154 07/25/2023	
1 2	Dear Bishop Green,	
	grekak23@gmail.com	
3	NITHINGS. NILLIE ODDEN	
4	WITNESS: WILLIE GREEN RE: COGIC vs. Watts	
•	CASE NO: 2021-CA-002561	
5	Deposition on June 28, 2023	
6	The above-stated transcript is now available and requires signature by the witness.	
7	requires signature by the withess.	
	Please e-mail fl.production@lexitaslegal.com for	
8	access to a read-only PDF transcript and PDF-fillable errata sheet via computer or use the errata sheet that	
9	is located at the back of the transcript.	
10	Once completed, please print, sign and return to the	
11	e-mail address listed below for distribution to all parties.	
11 12	If the transcript is not read within a reasonable	
	amount of time, the original transcript may be filed	
13 14	with the Clerk of the Court. If the witness prefers not to read the transcript,	
14	then the witness prefers not to read the transcript, then the witness needs to sign on the line at the	
15	bottom of the letter and return to the e-mail address	
16	listed below.	
Τ.0	If you need any needed assistance, don't hesitate to	
17	contact Lexitas at 888-811-3408.	
18	Vary truly yours	
19	Very truly yours,	
20	\bigwedge $\int \Omega u \cdot \nabla u $	
21	DANA L. JEFFRIES, RPR	
21	Lexitas	
22	fl.production@lexitaslegal.com	
23 24	I do hereby waive my signature.	
24	WILLIE GREEN	
25	Job No: 317055	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 155 ERRATA SHEET DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANGES HERE IN RE: COGIC vs. WATTS, Volume 1 CASE NO. 2021-CA-002561 WITNESS: WILLIE GREEN TAKEN: 06/28/2023 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE	
10 11 12 13		
11 12 13 14		
11 12 13 14 15		
11 12 13 14 15 16		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Under penalties of perjury. I declare that I have read	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing document and that the facts stated in it	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the foregoing document and that the facts stated in it	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the foregoing document and that the facts stated in it are true.	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the foregoing document and that the facts stated in it	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the foregoing document and that the facts stated in it are true.	